

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_103608
Title of the Manuscript:	Beneficial effect of <i>Thaumatococcus daniellii</i> (Benn.) rhizome extracts in albino rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. NO</p> <p>3. No. Mention the name degenerative diseases in which extract will be effective</p> <p>4. Yes , but need to be improve</p> <p>5. It is not correct , as in in-vivo study comparative evaluation with standard drug is not included, so how significance level is decided? clarify that.</p> <p>6. Complete and recent references need to be included.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	no	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spelling mistakes at many places need to be corrected Writing language need to be improved. Recent referencing need to be highlighted Authentication number of plant should be added. phytochemical responsible for Significant results should be mention in discussion part 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohini Chetan Kuchekar
Department, University & Country	Modern College of Pharmacy, India