POPULATION MITIGATION STRATEGIES TO GEOMORPHIC HAZARDS IN LIMBE WEST COAST, SOUTH WEST REGION OF CAMEROON

ABSTRACT

Environmental hazards are one of the main problems affecting humanity, especially human settlement in hazard prone zones. The study investigates the occurrence of hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe within the past 20 years. Data collected were analysed using both qualitative and quantitative statistical techniques. The results reveal that hydro-geomorphic hazards have been recurrent in Limbe particularly in the months of August to July where the average number of days for rainfall is 27 days in a month. The frequency of hazards occurrence is very often and was highly significant at P=0.000. The determinants of vulnerability to geomorphic hazards are mainly the socio-economic conditions of the households in Limbe. It also reveals that vulnerability to hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe varies from one area to another within the selected sample sites. Thus, the management strategies to mitigate geomorphic hazards are still lagging behind as there is lack of sensitization/education, good rehabilitation schemes on the part of the authorities and raised foundation, solid structures, embankments, and avoidance of hazards and landslide prone zones for the inhabitants.

Key Words: Geomorphic Hazards, Population Vulnerability, Mitigation strategy, Limbe West Coast

1. Introduction

The term natural hazard implies the occurrence of a natural condition or phenomenon, which threatens or acts hazardously in a defined space and time. Different conceptualizations of natural hazards have not only evolved in time, they also reflect the approach of the different disciplines involved in their study. In this sense, a natural hazard has been expressed as the elements in the physical environment harmful to man (Burton, Kates and White, 1993). By examining the different definitions of natural hazards and natural disasters, it is clear that the conceptualization has changed from a perspective of a merely physical or natural event, towards the integration of the human system. The study investigates the population mitigation strategies to hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe within the past 20 years (Figure 1). These Natural hazards are threatening events occurrence frequently in Limbe west coast of Cameroon and they are producing damage to the physical and social space where they take place not only at the moment of their occurrence, but on a long-term basis due to their associated consequences. It is the consequences of their major impacts on society and/or infrastructure that call for attention to the vulnerability of the populations by assessing the mitigation strategies of the inhabitants facing the unforeseen.



Figure 1. Location of study area
Source: Administrative Map of Cameroon, 2017

2. Material and Methods

To investigate the population mitigation strategies to hydro-geomorphic hazards, the study uses an approached based on population perception by administering a questionnaire to a sampled population determined from the Krejcie and Morgan (1970) sample size determination table. 200 questionnaires were administered (table 1). The samples were not uniform but according to the nearness to hazard prone zone. The areas were systematically selected among the areas of floods and landslides based on the occurrence evidence of floods and landslides in the sites. Information on the occurrences of hydro-geomorphic hazards, their factors, the population strategies and the management techniques of floods and landslide were obtained from the risk zones of Limbe.

Table 1: Selected sample sites for questionnaire administration

Hazard Types	**Sampled Sites**	**Number of questionnaires**	**Percentage (%)**
Floods areas	Mbonjjo	25	12.5
	Down Beach	20	10
	Clerks Quarters	25	12.5
	Church Street	15	7.5
	Cassava Farms	15	7.8
	Sub-total	100	
	Mabeta Hill	20	10
	Mbende Hill	25	12.5

Landslides areas	Coconut Island	15	7.5
	Mbonjo HILL	15	7.5
	Mile 2 Hill	25	12.5
	Sub-total	100	
	Grand Total	**200**	**100**

Source: Fieldwork (2022)

Firstly, to investigate the hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe, data were collected through field observation of the occurrences of floods and landslides. Geomorphic hazards were categorized based on the frequency and severity of impact or effect on the people in the study area. Consultation of secondary data from the Council and the Delegation of Environment and Nature Protection were under taken to know the number of times floods and landslides have occurred within the spatial and temporal scope of the study.

Secondly, to characterize the measures to mitigate geomorphic hazards in Limbe, data were collected mainly through field observation as well as quantification of strategies such as; raised foundations, number of solid structures, embankments, number of river channels that were widened, creation of awareness and average rehabilitation strategies in the selected sample sites. Interviews were done with the officials of the Council in charge of the environmental protection, the Divisional Delegate of Urban Development and Housing (on land management in Limbe), and personnel of the Divisional Delegation of Environment and Nature Protection in Limbe. This was to assess the measures put in place within the study area and by International Institutions to mitigate floods and landslide particularly within the temporal scope of the study.

Data obtained on the prevailing or the occurrence of hydro-geomorphic hazards, were analysed using the descriptive statistical techniques. This involved the use of frequency tables and graphs in analysing and interpreting the data on hazards developed to show the frequency of occurrence of floods and landslides as well as pictures to show the manifestation of the phenomenon.

Base on the measures to mitigate the effects of flood and landslide, analysis was geared towards comparing the mitigation measures with the Sandai Declaration Framework on Disaster Reduction, mainly based on “creation of awareness”. For this statistical analysis through descriptive techniques used such as frequency tables to present the classification of the various measures, and the adopted measures by the International Organizations. There was the calculation of percentages from the observed frequencies and calculation of p-value to know the level of significance of each indicator. Presentation was on bar graphs and pie charts to show the clear illustration of the various structural and non-structural measures put in place to mitigate hazards in the study area. Pictures were taken of some of the measures put in place by the inhabitants and stakeholders to manage geomorphic hazards in Limbe.

3. Results

3.1. Perception of the populations on hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe west Coast

The common environmental problems perceived in all the study sites include: Steep slopes 58.2%, marshy soil 36.0%, creeping soil 27.5% and sedimentation 20.6% (figure 2). Steep slopes are in areas of

landslide and is a major problem because it retards construction and movement and also contribute highly to landslide together with high rainfall and human impact. Marshy soil is mainly in the low-lying areas affected by flooding and this has witnessed the sinking of most houses in the area particularly where houses are constructed on the marshy soils like in the case of Clerk's quarter, Mbonjo and Down Beach.



Figure: 2. Factors of hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe

Source: Fieldwork, 2022

Though with the hydrogeomorphic characteristics of these areas which is not favourable for home construction and settlements, the inhabitants have continued to put on structures on these marshy lands and which have witnessed sinking to some degree and tilting. Sedimentation is a common environmental problem particularly in flooding areas where sediments are eroded from the highland areas and deposited in low lying areas. The heavy torrential rains of the months of August to July erode and carry soil particles from steep and unconsolidated slopes which is accumulated in great significance in the river channel at low land areas. This leads to reduction in the depth of river channels and completely feeling the narrow-constructed storm drain in some cases.

This phenomenon of sedimentation is very much common in Cassava Farms and Mbonjo were soil particles are eroded and carried from the Cassava Farms Hill and Mbonjo Hill and are deposited in the low-lying parts of the Cassava Farms and Mbonjo as well as accumulation in some river channels and storms drains, thereby reducing the depth of rivers and covering the foundation of most houses. An inhabitant in Cassava Farms testified that the foundation of his house is gradually covered by ground brought by flooded water in the rainy season. With respect to hazard type, environmental problem perceived differs. Marshy soil and sedimentation are the main environmental issues faced in flood area with more severity on marshy soils.

The high perception of hazard occurrence was confirmed by field observation and interview with the personnel of Delegation of Environment and Nature Protection. Flood occurrence is the most common particularly in the months of July and August where any heavy down poor on daily bases leads to inundation in the city. This therefore leads to high perception on the often occurrence of hydro-geomorphic hazard in Limbe. However, as presented on the figure 3, flood was significantly more recurrent than landslide with proportion of 93.1% of those that perceived for landslide as against 2% for those that perceived very often for landslides.



Figure 3. Proportion of respondents on frequency of hazard occurrence within a year
Source, Fieldwork, 2020

It is observed that geomorphic hazards are recurrent in Limbe as they occur often particularly the case of flood which occur every rainy season in most areas within the town. Since the hydro-geomorphic hazards are observed to be recurrent, it therefore implies that it would affect the inhabitants more severely as there is no settlement control in hazard prone areas within the town.

3.2. Occurrences of hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe west coast

The frequency of hazard occurrence was used based on the perception of respondents and observation. The respondent perception was on how often hazards occur, whether very often, often and not often (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Occurrence of hydro-geomorphic hazards
Source: Fieldwork, 2022

Based on the Frequency of hazard occurrence within a year, it was mostly perceived that hazards happen very often with proportion of 48.5% followed by often 30.5% while not often had a proportion of 21.0%.

From the analysis cumulatively 79.0% of respondents confirmed that hazards happen often and this proportion was significantly higher than the 21.0% that perceived that hazards do not occur often. The high perception of often occurrence of hazards was confirmed by field observation and interview with the personnel of Delegation of Environment and Nature Protection. Flood occurrence is the most common particularly in the months of July and August where any heavy down poor on daily bases leads to inundation in the city. This therefore leads to high perception on the often occurrence of hydro-geomorphic hazard in Limbe.

However, as presented on the figure 5 flood was significantly more recurrent than landslide with proportion of 93.1% of those that perceived for landslide as against 2% for those that perceived very often for landslides.



Figure 5. Frequency of hazard occurrences within a year
Source, Fieldwork, 2022

It is observed that geomorphic hazards are recurrent in Limbe as they occur often particularly the case of flood which occur every rainy season in most areas within the town. Since the hydro-geomorphic hazards are observed to be recurrent, it therefore implies that it would affect the inhabitants more severely as there is no settlement control in hazard prone areas within the town.

The impacts of floods and landslide in Limbe, is illustrated on plate 1 which clearly shows some of the destruction caused hydro-geomorphic hazards.

Plate 1. Some effects of hydrogeomorphic hazards
Source: Fieldwork, 2022

Some effects of hazards were observed in the study area. Destruction of houses as was observed at Mbende hill (A), and other landslide areas like Mabeta and Mbonjo Hill. Roads destruction/blockage by landslide were noticed at Mbonjo Hill (B) and in some severe landslide areas. Some houses were observed to be sinking as a result of flood and mostly abandoned in Clerk's quarters, Mbonjo, and Down Beach (C). Farms, particularly gardens around the houses are most at times covered by flood water as noticed in Banjo, Clerk's Quarter and Down Beach (D).

The impacts of hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe is a call for concern as if affects all aspect of life directly or indirectly. Field observation and respondents revealed the impacts of geomorphic hazards on

the major categories, notably destruction of vegetation (98%) because vegetation is covered by both flood and landslide any time it occurs, destruction or blockage of roads (91.0%) and this is at a result of frequent occurrence of flood mainly in town, which often block movement, destruction of farmland (87.5%) and this is mainly observed by the very people who own and work farm, destruction of blockage of bridges (63.2%). Dead though not highly pronounced was not absent (27.5%). Dead is mainly caused by landslide and is not common as other destructions, the same with health problems (27%) because the inhabitants hardly perceive health problem but field observation revealed some cases of health problem caused by hazards (Figure 6).



Figure 6. Effect of hazards on inhabitants indicated by participants
Source: Fieldwork, 2020

This indicates that hazards occurrence has impact on man directly and indirectly as proven by the various categories of social and environmental effects. As such, the inhabitants keep on facing problems as the intensity and frequency of hazards continue to increase over the years. However, this can be ameliorated by respecting the environmental roles and maintaining environmental stability.

3.3. Population Vulnerability to hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe coast

3.3.1. Awareness of hazards by the population

Based on perception, 99.0% inhabitants are aware of the occurrence of flood or landslide in Limbe against 1.0% for those that are not aware. This therefore implies that there is full awareness of hydro-geomorphic hazard in the study area. The high level of awareness of the occurrence of hydro-geomorphic hazard in Limbe indicates that, geomorphic hazards are recurrent.

3.3.2. Possession of building permit as a tool of restriction of haphazard occupation

Based on the possession of building permits, it was highly acknowledged that 82 % of the household visited did not possess building permits as against 18% having a building permit. However, there is a great different between the possession of building permits with respect to hazard type. It was

acknowledged at 28.4% for building permits for flood sites as against 71.6% for no permits. While for landslide site, it was acknowledged only at 7.1% for building permit against 92.9% for no building permit. This situation is due to the fact that the landslide areas were native lands and are presently calved out as risk zone and building permits are not granted for construction in those areas. Table 2 shows the possession of building permits of sampled houses within different hazards types and areas in Limbe. Some sampled areas did not indicate for any building permit like Mbende Hill and Mbonjo hill. This clearly indicates that the construction of houses in these places is totally without the concern of the authorities.

Table 2. Possession of building permit in Hazard areas within Limbe

Hazard type	Site	Sampled Houses	Indication for building permit	Percentage
	Clerk's Quarter	25	8	22.22%
	Mbonjo Quarter	20	4	11.11%
Flood	Down Beach	20	6	16.67%
	Church Street	15	7	19.44%
	Cassava Farm	15	4	11.11%
	Mile 2 hill	25	2	5.56%
	Mbende Hill	25	None	00%
Landslide	Coconut Island	15	4	11.11%
	Mbonjo Hill	15	1	2.78
	Mabeta Hill	20	None	00%
Total		200	36	100%

Source: Fieldwork, 2022

This clearly illustrate that the inhabitants in most of these hazards' prone zones within Limbe do not sake for building permits. This situation is as a result of the fact that the competent authorities have declared most of these areas as risk zones and cannot issue building permits in those areas. Though the inhabitants are fully aware of this, they still ignore the declaration and go on with their activities in the areas particularly construction of home.

3.3.3. Availability of coping aids

From field evidence, it was observed that almost no aid is given for coping with hazard in Limbe. It should be noted that 99.5% of the respondents do not receive any aid from anybody for coping with hazard as against 0.5% who acknowledged coping aid for hazard. The absence of coping aids for hazards in Limbe is a strong indicator that the people are more exposed to risk. Though the frequency and intensity of hazards occurrence keep increasing in Limbe, actually less is done by the authorities to assist the victims. This may be just because they have long declared those habited areas as risk zones and expect the people to evacuate the areas.

3.3.4. Availability of motorable roads and degree of house to withstands hazard

The number of motorable roads in the selected sample sites was observed. There are no good motorable roads in the hazard areas because 67.5% of respondents ascertained against 32.5% indicated the existence of good roads. Though with this, there is a great significant different between availability of

motorable road in floods sites and in landslide sites (Figure 7). This can be explained by the fact that landslide areas are very steep, difficult and expensive to construct compared to low areas of flood.



Figure 7. Availability of motorable roads with respect to hazards
Source: Fieldwork, 2022

It indicates that motorable roads are not available in landslide areas than in flood areas. The absence of roads makes it very difficult for the transportation of injured people and coping aids during an event of a hazard and this condition makes the inhabitants more vulnerable.

For flood sites, 62.7% acknowledged availability of motorable roads against 37.3% for no roads. While for landslide sites 1.0% acknowledged availability of motorable roads against 99.0%. (Figure 6). Hence, floods sites are more linked to by motorable roads than landslide areas. This can be explained by the fact that landslide areas are very steep, difficult and expensive to construct through low areas of flood which are very easy to move and construct through.

On one hand, 61.8% of respondents indicated that houses at flood areas cannot withstand flood as against 32.8% for houses that can withstand. On the other hand, 53.1% of respondents in landslide areas affirmed that houses can withstand landslide while 46.9% of them said that houses cannot withstand landslide (Figure 8).



Figure 8. Proportion of respondents on houses to withstand hazards.
Source: Fieldwork, 2022

This indicates that more houses can withstand landslide than flooding in Limbe. This is simply because most of the houses in landslide areas are constructed with embankments to hold the soil firm. While in flood areas most of the houses are old and was constructed earlier without taking into consideration the prevalence of flood and presently most of those houses are affected by the frequent occurrence of flood.

3.4. Population mitigation strategies to hydro-geomorphic hazard in Limbe west coast

Vulnerability to hazards is mitigated in various ways. These measures adopted in the study area are examined to know whether really there are sustainable and efficient to cope with frequent occurrence of hazard in the study area.

3.4.1. Awareness of mitigating measures

96.5% of the respondents are highly aware of hazard mitigation as against 3.5% for none awareness. It therefore implies that the inhabitants are more aware of hazard as well as measures to mitigate the hazard in their localities. This is good because awareness can reduce vulnerability if appropriate measures are put in place for mitigation.

Though the inhabitants are more aware of hazard mitigation, there is still a variation in the level of awareness between the hazard types at a significant difference of P=0.271. More people perceived awareness for landslide at the proportion of 98.0% as against 95.1% perception for awareness for floods. This is simple because to construct on steep slopes there must start with embankment which is the common strategy. While for flood areas many still construct without taking in to account the occurrence of flood due to easy topography for construction.

3.4.2: Nature of house foundation/structure of houses

Nature of house foundation as a measure to mitigate hazard vary from raised up, very solid, solid, not raised up, not solid, solid and raised up and very solid and raised up. The nature of foundation varies with the type of hazard. For flood hazard, 52.9% perceived that house foundation is not raised up and 2.9% indicated that it is very solid. While for landslide 64.3% perceived not raised up. Since the strength of the house to withstand hazard depends on the nature of the foundation, it is therefore observed that mitigation for flood hazard based on foundation is lagging for flood as up to 52% perceived for not raised up foundation implying that water will always enter in to most houses (Figure 9).



Figure 9. Nature of the house foundation with respect to hazards
Source: Fieldwork, 2022

The nature of foundation is also related to the structure of house. The structure is classified into plank house and block house. This condition was observed to vary across hazards types. For flood hazard,

more for block houses was perceived with the proportion of 80.4% as against 19.6% for plank house. While for landslide perception for block house was also high but lower than for flood, with the proportion of 75.0% as against 25.5% (Figure 10). Though the nature of houses in the flood and landslide zones are block houses, the foundations are not all raised up and are not all very solid to fully mitigating flood and landslide hazard.



Figure 10. Proportion of respondents on structure of house with respect to hazard type
Source: Fieldwork, 2022

Since about 70% of houses are block, it means the inhabitants have realised the advantage of block structures over plank structures as people are gradually replacing the existed plank structure with bock which is more solid to combat flood and landslide. This is a common strategy adopted by the inhabitants to reduce the impact of flood and landslide. The indigenes adopt different ways of combating flood and landside. Plate 2 shows the various ways adopted by the indigenes to combat flood in the affected areas within the town of Limbe.



Plate 2. Some Flood control measures in Limbe.
Source: Fieldwor, 2022

Some of the observed measures put in place to combat flood in Limbe include channel modification (A) which has to do with construction of stone wall along the river channel course in order to contain flood water. Sinking houses which are refilled and raised up again (B) to solve to the problem of flood water entering the house. Raised foundation and scoria deposit filling (C) in down beach to combat flood water.

There is also storm drain enlargement and paving in cassava farm (D) to increase the carrying capacity of the channels (Plate 2).

Landslide is also combated particularly by building very solid embankment to hold the unconsolidated soil (Plate 3). However, this is not very common as it is very expensive and only the “well to do” can carry on with that. This therefore has forced the poor to construct weak embankment which often collapse at time with heavy rainfall.

Plate 3. Other strategies against landslide and floods
Source: Fieldwork, 2022

a) Solid embankment to Combat landslide coconut Island, Limbe; b) Raised verandas to combat flood at Clerk’s Quarter Limbe

Construction of solid embankment is a very good strategy commonly used within the Landslide areas in Limbe to combat Landslide. However, this kind of engineering work required much financial cost which few can afford for it because of their low level of income. Though most flood inhabited areas are declared as risk zones, the majority who persevere to stay there particularly in Clerk’s Quarter for cheap houses have involved in raising of the verandas as an indigenous way of reducing the impact of floods (Plate 3).

3.4.3. Channel modification

The modification of channel to reduce the risk of flood hazard was observed. It was mostly seen that there is no channel widening with the proportion of 93.5% against 6.5% for channel widening. This confirms that there is no channel widening in the area. However, there is river channel cleaning (dredging) to maintain the depth of river channels and storm drains, done by the Municipal Council and Community Work in various quarters (Table 3).

Table 3. River channel modification in floods sites in Limbe

Sites	Number of times for river channel dredging a year	Degree of river channel widening	Concerned authority
Clerk’s Quarter	04	Lesser degree	. City Council . Community work
Church Street	04	Less degree	. City Council . Community work
Mbonnjo Quarter	04	Less degree	. City Council . Community work
Down Beach	04	Less degree	. City Council Individuals
Cassava Farms	01	Insignificant	. Community work

. Individuals

Source: Fieldwork, 2020

The absence of river channel widening implies that there will be high risk of flood. River channel widening is difficult to carry on because inhabitants have constructed so close to the banks. The insignificant level of channel widening together with increasing population accompanied by increased human activities along the channel, makes management of flood difficult.

3.4.4. Rehabilitation scheme on hazards

Just like sensation there was almost no rehabilitation scheme. It was mostly perceived that there is no rehabilitation scheme with the proportion of 99.0% as against 1.0% for rehabilitation scheme. This implies that people are style very much exposed to hazard as it keeps occurring every year with little or nothing in terms of rehabilitation scheme for the inhabitant in hazard prone areas of the town.

It is very clear that there is the complete absence of rehabilitation and because of this people continue to inhabit the declared risk zone. For an area to be considered and declared a risk zone, there should be a good strategy to rehabilitate the inhabitants and the absence of this makes it very difficult to control human activities in the area. This lack of rehabilitation scheme or strategy for inhabitants of flood and Landslide prone zones makes management inefficient.

Inhabitants in flood areas indicated with the proportion of 52.0% to remain in the area against 48% to leave the area if better option is given. For landslide, wish to leave the areas was higher with the proportion of 54.1% against 44.95 for wish to remain if better option is given (Figure 11). This implies that more people will prefer to leave the landslide areas if better option is given than in flood zones. This can be explained by the fact that most people in flood areas are business people and prefer to battle with flood than to leave for other areas though most do not really enjoy staying there but do not want to leave. Some also fear to miss their social ties.



Figure 11. Proportion on decision to stay or leave the area
Source; Fieldwork, 2022

The desire to stay in flood prone zone in Limbe indicates that the inhabitants have invested much in the area and prefer battling with hazards than to leave to another area to start it all over again. Another reason is as a result of affordable land compared to hazard free areas within the city. This unwillingness to move out of the area is also accounted for by lack of good rehabilitation schemes. The end result of this desire is inefficiency in the management of geomorphic hazards in the city of Limbe.

3.5. Management of hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe west coast

The frequent occurrence of hazards in Limbe over the years has put everybody awake to ensure human safety. Both the local population and the authorities have all been involved at different scales.

3.5.1: Actions carried by the inhabitants to mitigate geomorphic hazard

In order to combat hydro-geomorphic hazards in Limbe, the local population have been actively involved in so many ways particularly those in hazards prone areas (Table 4). The various efforts by the inhabitants is manifested in various ways through organised community works within the various quarters and individual efforts. The efforts of this class of the population cannot be under estimated as they have played a big role alongside the authority in combating hazards in a bit to guarantee safety.

Table 4. Thematic analysis of disaster management when it occurs

Code	Code description	Grounding	Percentage	Quotations
	Flood			
Evacuate the area	Evacuate the area temporary when there is flood to return back when it is over	11	**24.4%**	"Evacuate flood area" "Leave the place for some time"
Suspend house item up	Suspend house items up not to be affected by flood water when it enters the house	13	**28.8%**	"Items suspend up" "Suspension of properties"
Stop activities	Activities are stopped during flood as nothing can be done when flood occur	5	**11.1%**	"Activities are stopped" "Remain indoors"
Cleaning of gutters	Cleaning of gutters when flood occur to create easy passage of flood water	5	**11.1%**	"Remove debris from gutters" "Opening of gutters"
Push out flood water from the house	Flood water is pushed out from the house during flood occurrence to create way in the house	11	**24.4%**	"Push water out of the house" "Drain water out of house"
Total		**45**	100	
	Landslide			
Removal of grounds and debris	Ground and debris are removed from the site that has been affected	38	**59.38**%	"Removal of ground" "Removal of debris" "clear the mud"
Embankment	Construction of embankment which stabilized walls to contain the ground from moving	6	**9.38%**	"Building of embankment"
Evacuate the area	Leave the area to another suitable place when affected	6	**9.38%**	"leave the site" "Change of environment"
Reinforcement of embankment	Reinforcement of embankments when affected	11	**17.18%**	"Reinforcing embankment" "Add level of embankment"
Planting trees	Planting of trees to hold the soil firm from moving	3	**4.8%**	"Tree planting"
Total		**64**	**100**	

Source: Fieldwork, 2022

Community members managed flood in various ways as indicated by some respondents:

- Suspend house items up not to be affected by flood water when it enters the house (28.8%). This is the common method use by indigenes during flood event in Limbe. This is done before any other strategy is taken.
- Evacuate the area temporary when there is flood to return back when it is over (24.44%) been the second most adopted coping strategy implemented by the affected inhabitants.
- Stopped activities during flood as nothing can be done when flood occur (11.11%). Many people prefer to stay home to check the reaction of water as measure to reduce it impact.
- Flood water is pushed out from the house during flood occurrence to create way in the house (24.4%). This is another common indigenous method commonly practiced especially with poorly constructed or low foundation houses.
- Cleaning of gutters when flood occur to create easy passage of flood water was also scaled (11.11%). Community work is organised to clear gutters to create free access for water. Individual also do this around their home copping strategy.

As for landslide, the following methods are used:

- ✓ Ground and debris are removed from the site that has been affected (59.38%). This is the common strategy when landslide occurs in the area.
- ✓ Reinforcement of embankments when affected (17.18%). This is when the already exiting embankment is altered by landslide event and cannot withstand another event of hazard.
- ✓ Leave the area to another suitable place when affected (9.3%). This is done when the event of landslide is severe and the removing of ground cannot help at that time.
- ✓ Construction of embankment which stabilized walls to contain the ground from moving (9.38%). This is done when an area is affected and the need for an embankment is realised and is constructed immediately.
- ✓ Planting of trees to hold the soil firm from moving (4.8%). Though being a long-term strategy, but is triggered sometimes by a severe event which force some affected people to immediately start planted trees as defensive measure.

To know the degree of effectiveness of the indigenous copping strategies to mitigate hazard, the level of sensitization was used to test this hypothesis. This is because people's awareness of a particular hazard is at the base of any disaster preparedness, prevention and response strategy.

There was almost no sensitization on hazard in the study area. It was mostly observed that there is no sensitization at the proportion of 98.5% as against 1.5% for sensitization (Figure 12). Moreover, out of the three that acknowledged the existence of sensitization on hazards, only one person said it was efficient. The hypothesis here stated is then accepted. That there is no efficient management of hazard in Limbe West Coast.

This clearly shows that there is no effective management of geomorphic hazards in Limbe as every management of hazards begins with proper sensitisation for the creation of awareness for effective and efficient management.

This lack of sanitization or education could be perceived at different level, people not willing to resettle though conscious of the hazards, not following any building norm or acquiring building permits, throwing dirt in water channels or building too close to water channel. Much still need to be done to create awareness on the occurrence as well as possible effects of hazards in the study area to reduce vulnerability.

3.5.2. Actions carried by the inhabitants to mitigate hydro-geomorphic hazards before it occurs

So many proactive measures to combat hazards are carried in Limbe. The inhabitants suggested so many of such proactive measures which according to them can help to reduce the occurrence of flood and landslide in Limbe (Figure 12).



Figure 12. Suggested proactive measures to solve the problem of flood

Source: Fieldwork, 2022

The inhabitants acknowledged various proactive ways to control the occurrence of floods and it impacts in the various flood prone zones in Limbe. The most outstanding measures indicated include raising of foundation with 19.23% and cleaning of water channels with 18.47%. Channel widening, improvement of drainage and construction of artificial levee all stood at 12.31% respectively (Figure 12). Since the people have no other choice than to stay in flood areas, there should therefore embark on raised and solid foundation to avoid the flood water from penetrating in to houses, while the authority concerned should work on drainage system by widening the channel to increase their carrying capacity thereby reducing frequent occurrence of floods and its impacts on the inhabitants.

Suggested proactive measures have been indicated by the inhabitants of the landslide areas in Limbe (figure 13). The respondents feel that if these measures are adopted, the impact of landslide and the frequency of occurrence will reduce.



Figure 13. Suggested proactive measure to control Landslide in Lime
Source: fieldwork, June 2022

The impacts posed by landslide on the inhabitants of landslide prone areas have forced them to think and start making adjustment on their various activities on the slopes. The suggested measures they think if implemented can ameliorate the frequency of landslide so far. For the measures, construction of embankment was highly suggested at 29.81% and closely followed by settlement control at 25.96%. Sensitization of the population was also suggested at 19.23% and followed by planting of trees at 18.27%. Soil assessment was also considered by some as a measure at 6.73% (Figure 13). It was acknowledged by the inhabitants that strong embankment is the major measure if people should construct on the steeper slopes of Limbe. The management of landslide in Limbe, thus need the control of the Council to ensure the appropriate measures.

The structural management is not really a successful management strategy in Limbe because it is only limited to the “well to do”, who can afford the means. Such structures demand much financial cost and the majority of the inhabitant in such risk zone areas in Limbe who are the poor, still construct houses which cannot withstand the flood and landside and thus increasing the possibility for risk. Most of the houses in flood risk zones of Clerk Quarter, Mbonjo, Church Street, and Down Beach are not on raised foundation and majority are plank houses too. It is the same situation on the inhabited steep slopes of Mbende, Mile Two, Mabeta New Layout and Mbonjo where 70% of the houses are without solid embankment to hold the moving ground

Table 5. Thematic analysis of suggested flood and Landslide disaster control

Code	Code description	Grounding	Percentage	Quotations
Floods				
Channel widening	Channel widening to increase the carrying capacity of the channel	16	12.31%	"Widening of river channel" "Increase channel width"
Constant cleaning of gutters and river channels	Constant dredging or cleaning of gutters to create access way for water passage, to maintain the carrying capacity of river channels	24	18.47%	"Cleaning of storm drains" "Cleaning of gutters" "Dredging of river channels" "Cleaning river channel"
Sensitization of the people	Sensitization of the people on the occurrence and effect of flood hazard	6	4.61%	"Creation of awareness" "Sensitization of the inhabitants"
Improving the drainage system	Improve on the drainage system to control excess water within the town	16	12.31%	"Drainage system improvement" "Increase drained system"
Proper town planning	Proper town planning to avoid areas liable to flood	10	7.69%	"Controlled settlement" "Demolition of houses close to rivers"
Raising house foundation	Raising the house foundations to reduce the amount of water entering the houses	25	19.23%	"Raised verandas" "Protect the veranda" "Foundation raised up"
Rehabilitation of the people in flood zone	There should be rehabilitation scheme to evacuate those in flood zones to more suitable areas	7	5.38%	"Evacuate the people" "Resettlement of the inhabitants"
Construct bridges	Construct large and open bridges that cannot be easily blocked by dirt during flood	10	7.69%	"Construction of large bridges" "New and large bridges"
Construction of artificial levee	Construction of levee along the banks of major river to hold excess water	16	12.31%	"Levee construction" "Construction of dykes"
Total		**130**	**100%**	
Landslide				
Embankment	Construction of embankment which stabilized walls to contain the ground from moving	31	29.81%	"Construction of embankment" "build strong embankment"
Sensitization	Sensitizing the population on disaster	20	19.23%	Sensitize the population"
Settlement control	Settlement control by preventing people from building in risky areas, or stopping construction on very stop slope to reduce the effects of landslide on the inhabitants	27	25.96%	"Restrict construction in vulnerable areas" "Checking settlement" "Stop construction on steep slopes" "No construction on steep slopes"
Soil assessment	Assessing the soil whether it can contain buildings	7	6.73%	"Assess the soil for construction"
Planting of trees	Planting of trees to hold the soil firm and to reduce the risk of landslide	19	18.27%	"Tree planting" "Develop thick vegetation"
Total		104	100%	

Source: Field work, 2022

4. Discussion

With the frequent occurrence of hydro-geomorphic hazards today, many societies have adopted many measures in combating the effects as well as reducing the rate of occurrence of these hazards. As such, much literature has been written on the mitigating strategies of various geomorphic hazards throughout the world. It is therefore necessary to review the literature from other authors developed in other places and compared to what prevails in the study area. The World Conference on Disaster Reduction (WCDR) held in Kobe, Japan in January 2005, was an excellent opportunity to take stock (Cardona, 2003; Cardona et al., 2012). The Hyogo Framework for Action agreed on during this conference gave the mandate and set the direction for professional, scientists, individuals and institutions alike. Among other priorities, it defines the development of indicator system for disaster risk and vulnerability as one of the key activities enabling decision makers to assess the possible impact of disaster. In the case of Limbe, decision makers are slow in the implementation of these policies to manage hazards.

According to Earth Summit of 1992, there is need for National Government, Municipal Councils and cities or towns worldwide to re-examine issues about environment alongside with those of development. This is very much practical in Limbe where there is the Delegation for Environmental and Nature Protection set by the National Government. Eze (2001) also added that there has been a general and rising interest among nations and states on environmental issues most especially environmental protection since after the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNICED). The Municipal Councils also have departments concerning environmental protection. This is in line with curbing most of the environmental effect on man and development.

In an attempt to present an inventory of landslide and risk zone mapping along the Rio Grande basin in the Central Andes of Mendoza, Espizua and Bengochea (2002), combined field work with interpretation of aerial photographs to provide a practical basis for rational land use planning. Landslide risk zones were mapped in view of natural hazards and the degree of loss to a given element or set of elements at risk along roads and routes because of a particular phenomenon of a given magnitude. Thus, the first step in mitigating hydrogeomorphic hazards is by identifying or calving out the areas prone to risk. In Limbe these areas are calved out and are labelled risk zone but yet people and other human activities are strongly carried out in these areas.

Ciurean et al. (2013) indicated that many efforts should be made to engage more proactive approach, involving mitigating and preparedness strategies. This aspect is still very limited in the study area. Mostly the response approach is done here when the hazard must have occurred and negatively affected the people. Much therefore is still to be done to implement the proactive approach as proposed.

Forgwe and Asue (2016) on their study of flood along the Kumba water course and Tchotsoua, Aboubaka, and Fotsing (2016) on their study on Benue River Basin Downstream of Lagdo Dam, noted that in response to flood occurrence, counter floods structural measures have limited the degree of success from the construction of high verandas, walls, houses on raised plinths, filling of foundations and digging of gutters. They added that considering the multitude of pollution and floods negative

repercussion on the urban population of Kumba in tangible and intangible losses that result from human misplaced priorities, the need for lasting mitigating measures becomes an urban governance. In Limbe just like the Kumba case, a lot of structural measures have been used to mitigate the effects of floods and landslides. Most of the inhabitants now know that there is need for lasting mitigation measures to floods and landslides in the study area.

According to Bang et al. (2017) on the irony of flood risk in Africa emphasized that the government urgently needs to review its disaster management policies to be more proactive and strategic in flood and landslide management in order to enhance human security and prevent permanent change to livelihood at the micro economy regions. The policies to combat these hazards in Limbe are mainly reactive than proactive and therefore much policies on floods and landslide mitigation measures still need to be improved upon.

According to IFRC (2010), flood and landslide management is at the top of many government agenda as a result of climate research that suggests that the number of days of extremely heavy rainfall is raising by between 1 per cent to 2 per cent each decade there by contributing to an increase in the frequency and intensity of floods and landslide worldwide. In Cameroon in general with the frequent occurrence of landslide and flood within the few past years, management of these hazards is one of the top priorities of the government and Limbe with much floods and landslide is included.

On the management of disaster in Cameroon, Bang (2013), noted that civil administrators who act as chief disaster managers in their areas of jurisdiction at the national, regional and local level, frequently do not have the necessary disaster management training or skills. He added that compounded by the hierarchical top down power structure for the governance of disaster risk, such personnel will inevitably struggle to manage flood and landslide risk. It is the same situation in Limbe where the civil administrator lacks knowledge about hazards but are chief disaster managers of the area. According to World Bank, Natural Disaster Risk Management (2012), experience has shown that considering the frequency of disasters affecting the Philippines, its socio-economic context, and risk culture, the disaster management system tends to rely on a response approach. However, studies indicate that efforts are being made to engage more proactive approaches, involving mitigation and preparedness strategies. In order to achieve this it is thus important to investigate not only the nature of the threat but also the underlying characteristics of the environment and society that makes them susceptible to damage and losses – in other words, the role of vulnerability in determining natural hazard risk levels. In the case of floods and landslide in Limbe, much still need tobe done concerning the investigation of underlying environmental characteristics to make proactive measures. The reactive approach to hazards management is mainly adopted in the study area by the authorities.

The capacity to anticipate and avoid being affected by an exteme event requires different assets, opportunities, social network, and local external institutions from capacity to deal with impact and recover from them (Cardona et al. 2012). He emphasised on capacity to anticipate risk, capacity to respond and capacity to recover. In the study area, the capacity to avoid being affected by hydrogeomorphic hazard is

still to develop as little is presently done. Cardona et al. (op. cit.), added that capacity to reduce rist prevention and reduction may be undrstood as a series of elements, measures and tools directed towards intervention in hazards and vulnerabilities with objectives of reducing existing or controlling future possible risk.

Accordin to IFRC, (2010), effective response requires substential preplaning as well inverstment in disaster preparedness and early warnings (not necessary in terms of financial cost but particularly interm of awareness raising and capacity building). The capacity to recover is not only dependent on the extent of a physical impact but also on the type of socio-economic activities.

5. Conclusion

The inhabitants and the authorities in the town of Limbe have not just folded their arms to watch this happens. Though much is being blamed on the population of Limbe or the inhabitants of these risk zone areas, they are still within their limits struggling to mitigate the effects of hazards. Their attempts to conquer the natural hazards of flood and landslide may not be sustainable enough but can reduce to an extent the effects. These barrier measures implemented by the inhabitants like the suspension of verandas, raised foundation, building of embankments require much capital and engineering expertise which is still limited to the very few rich and the poor continue to embrace the effects.

Awareness which should be the first step towards hazard management is near zero in the city as there is no sensitization of the masses on geomorphic hazards, which is very recurrent in the city with much negative effects. The authority has fallen short in their strategy as much of their efforts are on response measures which still is lurk warm. The authorities and the population together in their actions to curb flood and landslide still need much to be done and have to revisit their strategies putting in place the safety of man first. However, all is not loss as there is still chance for the people of Limbe particularly those in flood and landslide areas together with the authorities and other stakeholders to rethink, develop a good proactive strategy, implement them and also follow up for sustainable urban development.

6. References

Bang, H. Governance of Disaster Risk Reduction in Cameroon: the need to empower local government. *Journal of Disaster Studies,* 2013, 5(2), 77, 10.

Bang, H., Miles, L. and Gordon, R. The irony of flood risk in African dry land environments; Human security in North Cameroon. *World Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 2017, 5, 109-121.

Burton I., Kates R. W. and White G. F. *The environment as hazards*. 2nd Edition, London/New York: OUP, 1993.

Cardona, O. D., Van Aalst, M.M., Birkmann, J., Fordham, M., McGregor, G., Perez, R., Puhwarty, R.S., Schipper, E.L.F., Sinh, B.T. Determinants of risk: exposure and vulnerability. In: Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation. Field, C.B., Barros, V., Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Dokken, D.J., Ebi, K.L., Mastrandrea, M.D., Mach, K.J., Plattner, G.-K., Allen, S.K., Tignor, M.,Midgley, P.M. (eds.).A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, 2012, 65-108.

Cardona, O. D. The need for rethinking the concepts of vulnerability and risk from a holistic perspective: a necessary review and criticism for effective risk management. *Mapping Vulnerability: Disasters, Development and People*, 2003, 17-31

Ciurean, R. L., Dagma, S. and Thomas, G. *Conceptual framework of vulnerability assessment for National disaster reduction*. London: Researchgate Publishers, 2013.

Espizua, L. E. and Bengochea, J. D. Landslide hazard and risk zonation mapping in Rio Grande Basin, central Andes of Mendza, Argentina. *Mountain Research and Development*, 2002, 22(2), 177-185.

Eze B. E. A critical evaluation of urban Environmental Protection Agencies in Nigeria. In: Lambi, C. M. (ed), *Environmental Issues: Problems and Prospects*.,2001,23-44. Bamenda: Unique Printers.

Forgwe, Z. N. and Asue, E. N. Cameroon, urban floodwater Retaliation on human Activity and infrastructural development in charmel flood ways of Kumba. *Current Urban Studies*,2016, 4(1), 85-95.

IFRC. *Cameroon Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper*. Washington, D. C.: IFRC Publication, 2010.

Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, 1970, 30*(3), 607–610.

Tchotsoua, M., Aboubaka, M. and Fotsing, J. M. The socio-economic Downstream Impact of large Dams: A case study from an evaluation of flooding Risk in Benue River Basin Downstream of Lagdo Dam. *Journal of African Studies, 2016,* 1, 16-19.

World Bank. Natural Disaster Risk Management in the Philippines Enhancing Poverty Alleviation Through Disaster Reduction. New York: World Bank Publication, 2012.