

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_103958
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of thermosonication on total soluble solid, pH and titrable acidity of Nagpur mandarin juice
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes it is; because it explore ways to preserve Nagpur Mandarin Juice as original as possible using scientific approach Yes it is Yes it is In general Yes it is. But the author must check the margin (left margin) in the Conclusion Yes I do think it is scientifically correct Only contain 12 references and most of them are old paper/book. but for me, it's still understandable because maybe not many new references are available in this field	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is	
Optional/General comments	Please follow the author guidelines, especially in referencing and how to write it correctly	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Forman Erwin Siagian
Department, University & Country	Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Indonesia