

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104295
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of trends in climate variables and the coping mechanisms used by cardamom growers in Idukki District of Kerala, India.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>I am interested in term of the manuscript</p> <p>The Title is relatively suitable. But, in the substance discribe about adaptation strategies, in the title coping mechanism. Both of them are closely related.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, it cover all of the substance</p> <p>According tom me, the subsection and the structureare appropriate</p> <p>The manuscript can be classified as scientifically correct, but not yet in-dept explored</p> <p>The references are still limited. It's better for the writer for adding the references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The english is relatively understandable. It can be classified good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Your research are interesting. Can drought-resistant plant variants be used for different temperatures as well? What specific treatments should be applied when the temperature rises and drops? Can drought-resistant plant variants be used for different temperatures as well? But it's a shame it wasn't specifically explained if the temperature rises this way and drops that much there should be a different treatment. What's interesting is the discovery of drought-resistant higher-temperature plant variants, whether this can be used for different temperatures is not explained.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imam Santosa
Department, University & Country	Jenderal Soedirman University, Indonesia