

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103833
Title of the Manuscript:	Advancements in Breeding Strategies for Enhancing Wilt and Root Rot Resistance in Castor: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>No. This paper is just a review of how to improve castor cultivation to prevent castor from wilting and rotting its roots, and is of little scientific value.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No. Also, the Abstract section is too long.</p> <p>No. The manuscript lacks the "Results" section. This section must be added to this paper in a separate section.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the English language of the article is fair.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript (specifically the Abstract section) is very long and avails more as a book chapter and not a paper. It must become concise as far as possible.</p> <p>Since this paper is considered a review article, it can only be accepted for publication in the journal on the condition that the author(s) has (have) great experience in this area. Otherwise, a review paper for the author(s) is unacceptable. If so, the author(s) must have some references relating to his/her/their previous research work in the References section.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seyed Alireza Mousavi Shirazi
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran