

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_103696</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INFLUENCE OF COW BASED LIQUID MANURES AND SPRAYING FREQUENCY ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF GREEN GRAM (<i>Vignaradiata</i> L.) UNDER NATURAL FARMING</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the commentary written satisfactorily.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Recent references may be included.</b></p> <p>1. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, P, IAA, GA, etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words need to be abbreviated.</p> <p>2. In result chapter, authors stated mean and SEM, but there is no separate heading for statistical analysis is done to prove it. Therefore, it has been strongly recommended to have statistical analysis should be included in the materials and methods section separately with software and its version used in the present investigation.</p> <p>3. The authors may improve the discussion of their results by focusing on the present findings and introducing data from other authors who also worked with the same or other studies with recent references since it is lack of sufficient references.</p> <p>4. The limitation of the present investigation and future direction may be given along with conclusion or under separate heading for understanding the concepts clearly.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words “on every” may be as “every”; “It is” as “It”; “belongs to” as “and belongs to”; “in area” as “in the area”; “15% consumption” as “15% of consumption”; “hectare green” as “hectare of green”; “the productivity” as “a productivity”; “First” as “The first”; “significantly” as “a significantly”; “application” as “the application”; “in cell” as “in the cell”; “in plant” as “in the plant”; “the significantly” as “a significantly”; “Seed” as “The seed”; “Cowurine” as “and Cowurine”. The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p> <p>2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words “kharif” may be as “Kharif”; “interval.” as “intervals.”; “greengram” as “green gram”; “hardest” as “hardest”; “production” as “produced”; “chickpea” as “chickpeas”; “pigeon pea” as “pigeon peas”; “water requiring crop” as “water-requiring crops”; “characters” as “characteristics”; “cow based” as “cow-based”; “branch” as “branches”; “satisfically” as “statistically”; “Every days” as “Every day”. The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The authors have put effort to evaluate the “INFLUENCE OF COW BASED LIQUID MANURES AND SPRAYING FREQUENCY ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF GREEN GRAM (Vignaradiata L.) UNDER NATURAL FARMING”. The authors describe the results undertaken with this in an organized manner, emphasizing the results obtained by them. The article needs modification for better cohesion of information to achieve the goal and the shortcomings which need to be considered.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>P. Sampathkumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SASTRA Deemed University, India</b>