

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Mathematics |
| Manuscript Number: | M_ARJOM_104225 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Pointwise Clique-Safe Domination in the Complement and Complementary Prism of Special Families of Graphs |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>.)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1- The paper is very important and well written.</p> <p>2- The title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is well written but this sentence needs to be corrected.</p> <p>A set $D \subseteq V(G)$ is said to be a pointwise clique-safe dominating set of G for every vertex $y \in D$ if there exists a vertex $x \in D$ such that $xy \in E(G)$ where $\omega_D(x) \geq \omega_{D^c}(y)$.</p> <p>4. In all, subsections are well structured.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- References are fine.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Good English. The introduction needs to be reorganised again. It is better if the author could introduce the preliminaries section. The author needs to remove the examples in the introduction and can include it in the preliminaries section.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>I recommend this paper for publication after revision.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Joachim Moussounda Mouanda |
| Department, University & Country | Blessington Christian University, Republic of Congo |