

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCRMH_103684</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Intradural Spinal metastasis from Renal cell carcinoma- A rare entity</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case study</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Almost</b></p> <p><b>The abstract is weak; doesn't allow us to quickly and accurately identify the basic content of the paper. We are little bit unable to read your abstract to see if the related research is of interest to them.</b></p> <p><b>The structure is scientifically accepted but the content is lacking for a medical document describing a rare cancer pathology.</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript is scientifically correct but the content of the paper does not convey the scientific message in its entity since to describe a rare pathology the role of the literature review is more than primordial.</b></p> <p><b>A lack for recent references especially last 5 years of which this rare entity was well illustrated by the plan diagnosis, therapeutic and also research. The two most recent references of this manuscript date from 2016, so that the discussion remains insufficient. Here some useful and recent references</b></p> <p>1- Hassan O, Gassie K, Goyal A, Foskey S, Abode-Iyamah K. Clinical Features and Neurosurgical Management of Metastatic Intradural Extramedullary Renal Cell Carcinoma. Cureus. 2023 Jan 10;15(1):e33618. doi: 10.7759/cureus.33618. PMID: 36788834; PMCID: PMC9911312.</p> <p>2- Carminucci A, Hanft S. Intradural extramedullary spinal metastasis of renal cell carcinoma: illustrative case report and comprehensive review of the literature. Eur Spine J. 2020 Dec;29 (Suppl 2):176-182. doi: 10.1007/s00586-020-06537-x. Epub 2020 Oct 13. PMID: 33051797.</p> <p>3- Corvino S, Mariniello G, Solari D, Berardinelli J, Maiuri F. The Role of Surgery in Spinal Intradural Metastases from Renal Cell Carcinoma: A Literature Review. Cancers (Basel). 2022 Mar 21;14(6):1595. doi: 10.3390/cancers14061595. PMID: 35326745; PMCID: PMC8945914.</p> <p>4- Land, C.F., Bowden, B.D., Morpeth, B.G. et al. Intradural extramedullary metastasis: a review of literature and case report. Spinal Cord Ser Cases 5, 41 (2019). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41394-019-0181-0">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41394-019-0181-0</a></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>So far</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>The authors should rewrite the manuscript with recent references and discuss the case presentation more efficiently, and of course underline the review of literature of this rare and interesting oncological entity</b></p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tariq Namad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Military Hospital, Morocco</b>