

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_95205
Title of the Manuscript:	SURVEY OF UNREPORTED PSYCHIATRIC MORBIDITY INDUCED BY JOB DISSATISFACTION AMONG TEACHERS: INFERENTIAL POINT OF VIEW
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? \</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This article will be an important input for scientific development if it is accompanied by a theoretical explanation of the concepts that are measured based on certain scientific disciplines such as organizational industrial psychology or human resource management, apart from statistics and medical terms written there.</p> <p>My suggestion for the title, can be made easier to understand so that ordinary people can understand it quickly</p> <p>The results of this study have not been included in the abstract. A more comprehensive abstract can be made by the author</p> <p>Structurally it is quite good and coherent, but in my opinion too much data is presented in tabular form but is not accompanied by an in-depth theoretical explanation, only presented.</p> <p>It seems that from a statistical scientific point of view, of course this article can be accounted for by the existing data, but from a discussion point of view the phenomenon of job dissatisfaction, in my opinion, it is still lacking.</p> <p>the reference is good enough and has been written according to APA style 7</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English used is academically appropriate for use in a journal</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yusak Novanto
Department, University & Country	Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia