

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JOCAMR_104336 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Barley based diet in Diabetes- A case report |
| Type of the Article | Case study |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The article is good in terms of subject matter but weak in terms of writing, choosing research details, especially research methods, and presenting and clarifying tables.</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>Not written well.</p> <p>Yes but needs to be technically correct.</p> <p>Yes, as a case study.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Good and needs some corrections.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The researcher wrote this article without adhering to the rules of writing the case study. The article needs to be rewritten with a focus on the rules and steps of writing the case study. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Aqeel Abbas Noaman |
| Department, University & Country | Middle Technical University, Iraq |