

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOCAMR_102725</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Correlation between Increased Leukocyte Counts in Patients with Acute Phase Hemorrhagic Stroke with Early Neurological Deterioration Events</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The authors explored in a retrospective cross-sectional clinical study the relationship between increased leukocyte count and early neurological deterioration in 38 hemorrhagic stroke patients while being treated at UKI General Hospital. The authors concluded that a significant relationship exists between increased leukocyte count upon admission and early neurological deterioration while being treated for hemorrhagic stroke patients. The study is potentially interesting, but can be improved if the following considerations are ad-dressed:</p> <p>1. Due to the small size of the study the title should clearly mentioned "preliminary findings"</p> <p>2. It would be interesting to mention in the Introduction that hematological disorders are another commonly unrecognized cause of hemorrhagic stroke (Expert Rev Hematol 2016; 9: 891-901). Furthermore, acute stroke can be the presenting manifestation of a hematological disorder, and hematological disorders are the most common etiology of ischemic stroke of unusual cause. The inclusion and comment on this reference is recommended.</p> <p>3. It is mandatory to unify the format of the manuscript according to the recommendations of the Journal</p> <p>4. Please indicate in the manuscript exactly where the section referred to the Discussion begins</p> <p>5. It is mandatory to describe the frequency of in-hospital mortality and the causes of death (neurological and non-neurological).</p> <p>6. It would be interesting for the authors to include in the text some of the limitations of this study.</p> <p>7. The authors' opinion on the future direction of research on this topic should be added in the text</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The authors present a retrospective cross-sectional clinical study showing a correlation between increased leukocyte counts in patients with acute hemorrhagic stroke with early neurological deterioration. The study is interesting from a clinical point of view. Some major amendments are suggested to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>A Arboix</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hospital Universitary Sagrat Cor., University of Barcelona, Spain</b>