

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_103218
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical simulation study of dredger impeller based on fluid-solid coupling
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Reviewer'Comments I think the article is suitable for the scientific community and the manuscript is well written but with minor adjustments in the abstract,where all the sub headings should be removed and no paragraphs are required. Also the introduction should be paragraphed after each theme.The body of the work requires some citations especially where he was putting et al.should be followed by the appropriate citations. The conclusions are ok and directly explored the implications of this work. The references are good. Recent references must be considered DECISION: Publish the work after minor corrections.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language must be check thoroughly	
Optional/General comments	Journal guidelines must be followed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	E. O .Eze
Department, University & Country	Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria