

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</b>               |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JENRR_102798</b>                                      |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Under-Voltage Protection of Power Transmission Lines</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                            |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p><b>Abstract:</b></p> <p>[1] The abstract provides a brief overview of the paper's content. However, it would be beneficial to include specific details about the methodology and key findings of the study to provide a clearer understanding of the research work.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <p>[1] The introduction adequately highlights the importance of continuous monitoring and protection of transmission lines. However, it would be helpful to provide a concise overview of the existing methods and technologies used for fault detection, classification, and location in power systems.</p> <p>[2] Figure 1: Yellow colour legend is missing.</p> <p>[3] Figure 2: Typo.</p> <p>[4] Figure 2: For the diamond shape chart, please add a "YES" for the downward proceeding route.</p> <p><b>Method of Implementation:</b></p> <p>[1] The description of the algorithm used in designing the under-voltage relay is concise and informative. However, it would be advantageous to provide more details about the logic gates and their specific functions within the relay design.</p> <p><b>Simulation Results:</b></p> <p>[1] The simulation results section covers single-phase-to-ground faults and three-phase-to-ground faults. While these are important fault scenarios, it would be valuable to include results for other fault types as well, such as phase-to-phase faults, double-phase-to-ground faults, and three-phase faults. This would provide a more comprehensive evaluation of the under-voltage relay's performance across various fault conditions.</p> <p>[2] The waveform diagrams provided in Figures 4 and 5 offer a visual representation of fault scenarios. However, it would be beneficial to include quantitative performance metrics, such as fault detection time, accuracy of fault classification, and the relay's response time.</p> <p>[3] Please add the SIMULINK blocks design.</p> <p>[4] Figures 4 &amp; 5: The font size is too small to be able to read.</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b></p> <p>[1] The conclusion section briefly summarizes the key findings of the study. To enhance the comprehensiveness of the conclusions, consider providing a concise discussion on the performance of the proposed under-voltage relay in terms of fault detection, classification, and location accuracy.</p> <p>[2] It would also be valuable to highlight the limitations of the proposed method and suggest potential areas for future research or improvements.</p> <p><b>References:</b></p> <p>[1] The references provided are relevant and contribute to the context of the paper. However, consider adding more recent references to reflect the latest advancements in the under-voltage protection of power transmission lines. The current list is out-of-date. Recommend to refer to the latest publications, and manuscripts published within the latest 5 years period.</p> <p>[2] It would be helpful to provide DOI (Digital Object Identifier) or direct links to</p> |  |

**Review Form 1.7**

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
|  | the referenced articles whenever possible, allowing readers easy access to the cited sources (optional suggestion).<br>[3] Please standardize the format and style of the reference list according to the journal template. |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Encourage proofreading of the manuscript to improve the grammar and rectify the typo error.   |  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments   |   |  |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Yong Ching Yee   |
| Department, University & Country | School of Engineering and Technology, University of Technology Sarawak, Malaysia |