

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_103198
Title of the Manuscript:	Malignant melanoma metastasis to bone marrow : a rare case report
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article “Malignant melanoma metastasis to bone marrow : a rare case report” appears to be of scientific importance as for melanoma, incidence of bone metastasis is lower in comparison to liver or lung metastasis. 2. The title “Malignant melanoma metastasis to bone marrow : a rare case report” appears to be suitable. 3. The abstract needs to be rewritten correcting the language and formatting errors and highlighting the author's conclusions. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript appear appropriate. As per the journal's guidelines, Case Presentation may be replaced by “Presentation of Case”. 5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) However, the authors have stated “metastasis of melanoma to bone marrow is even rarer and accounts for 5-7% of all malignant cases”. This statement needs to be checked. Studies indicate metastasis of melanoma to bone is more common, ranging from 11-17% (Gomez-Leon et al., Melanoma Res. 2018; 28(6): 562–570) or around 25% (Gullapalli et al., Cureus. 2022; 14(2): e22115). b) The results discussed under Case Presentation all refer to metastasis to bone. Although metastasis to liver has been mentioned, no detailed results for the same have been provided. c) It has been reported by the authors, “Tumour markers and serological markers were within normal limits. LDH was markedly raised.” What were the markers assayed and what were the quantitative values of LDL? 6. More comprehensive and updated referencing should be provided for the article. 7. The Conclusion needs to be rewritten and made more focussed. 8. Results under Case Presentation could be presented in the form of a Table for clarity of understanding. 9. Several parts need to be rewritten as the article suffers from grammatical and formatting errors. The meaning of many statements is also not clear. (Refer below). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Several parts need to be rewritten as the article suffers from grammatical and formatting errors. The meaning of many statements is also not clear. A few examples are given below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Histopathology of which showed pigmented cells arranged in nests and scattered singly. b) After radiological investigations, Multiple metastasis including in liver and vertebra also identified in this patient. c) While investigating patient, no previous history of skin lesion or surgery was done. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-----</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Hussien Saliem
Department, University & Country	Iraq