

## Original Research Article

### **Performance of Nano- DAP under rice-wheat cropping system in Vertisol of Chhattisgarh**

#### **Abstract**

A field experiment was carried out in research farm, IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India during *Rabi* 2020-2021 and *Kharif* 2021-22 under rice- wheat cropping system at Research Farm, College of Agriculture, Raipur, (IGKV). The experiment was laid out with 12 treatments of rice and wheat in randomized block design. Treatments of rice in *Kharif* season includes (T1) Absolute Control (N0:P0:K0), (T2) 0 % P (Control P0); (NPK @ 120:0:40), (T3) 100 % P through DAP (NPK @ RDF 120:60:40), (T4) 75 % P through DAP (NPK @120:45:40), (T5) 50 % P through DAP (NPK @120:30:40), (T6) T4 + Root Dipping @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP, (T7) T4 + Root Dipping @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP, (T8) T5 + Root Dipping @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP, (T9) T5 + Root Dipping @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP, (T10) T5 + Root Dipping @ 5 ml / L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP, (T11) T5 + Root Dipping @ 5 ml / L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP and (T12) T5 + Seed Treatment @ 5 ml / kg seed + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP. In rice treatments (T3) 100 % P through DAP (NPK @ RDF 120:60:40) obtained significantly higher soil microbial biomass carbon, (T3) 100 % P through DAP (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and (T4) 75 % P through DAP (NPK 120:45:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) obtained significantly higher soil fungus colony count, (T3) 100 % P through DAP (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), (T4) 75 % P through DAP (NPK 120:45:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), (T6) T4 + Root Dipping @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP and (T7) T4 + Root Dipping @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP obtained significantly higher soil total bacteria and 50% P through DAP (NPK 120:30:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and treatments in combination with 50% P through DAP (NPK 120:30:40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Nano-DAP obtained significantly higher phosphorus solubilizing bacteria.

**Keywords:** Nano DAP, DAP, rice, SMBC, PSB, bacteria, fungi, foliar application, root dipping, seed treatment.

## 1. Introduction

The application of nanotechnology in form of nanofertilizer provides an innovative, efficient, and eco-friendly alternative to synthetic fertilizers. The nanofertilizers allow a slow and sustained release of nutrients that not only supports plant growth but also conserve the diversity of the beneficial microbiome. Such attributes may help the phytomicrobiome to efficiently mitigate both biotic and abiotic stress conditions. Unfortunately, despite, exceptional efficiency and ease of applications, certain limitations are also associated with the nanofertilizers such as their complicated production process, tenuous transport and dosage-sensitive efficiency. These bottlenecks are causing a delay in the large-scale applications of nanofertilizers in agriculture (M. Kalwani *et al.* 2022).

The application of nanotechnology in form of nanofertilizer provides an innovative, efficient, and eco-friendly alternative to synthetic fertilizers. The nanofertilizers allow a slow and sustained release of nutrients that not only supports plant growth but also conserve the diversity of the beneficial microbiome. Such attributes may help the phytomicrobiome to efficiently mitigate both biotic and abiotic stress conditions. Unfortunately, despite, exceptional efficiency and ease of applications, certain limitations are also associated with the nanofertilizers such as their complicated production process, tenuous transport and dosage-sensitive efficiency. These bottlenecks are causing a delay in the large-scale applications of nanofertilizers in agriculture (M. Kalwani *et al.*).

Rice and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown sequentially in an annual rotation constitute most widely adopted cropping system in India. The rice-wheat cropping system is one of the world's largest agricultural production systems, occupying 26 Mha of cultivated land in the Indo-Gangetic Plains and in China. The rice-wheat system comprises about 13 Mha in area in the Indo-Gangetic Plains, of which the Indian part of IGP comprises about 10 Mha (Timsina and Connor, 2001). In India, the production of rice and wheat grains during the year of 2020-21 was 121.46 million tons and 108.76 million tons, respectively (Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare 2020-21). Rice is the main cereal crop of Chhattisgarh, it covers an area of 4.33 Mha with a production and productivity of 9.24 MT and 21.3 q/ha. Wheat covers area of .315 Mha area with production and productivity of 0.259 MT and 8.22 q/ha. (Agriculture statistics table year 2021, Chhattisgarh Government). Nutrient management in the rice - wheat cropping system have a great importance for the maintenance of soil health. Both rice and wheat are exhaustive feeders, and this double cropping system is heavily depleting the soil of its nutrient content (Dhanda *et al.*).

## Materials and Method

The experiment was conducted at research farm of Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya (IGKV), Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India during *Kharif* and *Rabi* season of the year 2020-21 and continued to 2021-22 to investigate the response of nano DAP application on the growth and yield of rice and wheat. The soil of the experimental area was clayey in nature falling under the category of Vertisol, which is a fine, hyperthermic, montmorillonitic soil. The experimental soil was clayey in texture, slightly alkaline (7.33) and normal in nature (0.23 dS m<sup>-1</sup>). Rice (variety – Rajeshwari) and wheat (variety- Wheat) was used as test crop in the experiment. The trial was laid down in a randomized block design (RBD) corresponding to 12 treatments and three replications.

All the treatments consisted of a common dose of 0%, 50%, 75% and 100% recommended dose of P through DAP in rice and wheat. Urea, DAP, Muriate of potash (MOP) and nano DAP were used as fertilizers. The urea, DAP and MOP were administered through soil application as basal and split dose whereas, nano DAP was given 2 times (at tillering and panicle initiation stage) through foliar application, as per the treatments.

Freshly wet soil samples from the rhizospheric area of each treatment were taken from random spots during 60 and 90 days after transplanting of rice crop. These soil samples are mixed thoroughly and made 50 gm so that it can be kept in different polythene bags followed by tagging each sample. Fresh samples are directly analysed for estimation of total bacteria count, PSB count, fungi count and MBC (cfu / gm soil). avoiding long storage and freezing of soil samples. Samples are also stored in freezer which are kept below ambient temperature in plastic pouch to prevent drying and incubate at room temperature for 24 hours before analysis.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 1. Soil microbial biomass carbon of rice crop (ug C/g soil)


The results of soil microbial biomass carbon 60 DAT and 90DAT of rice in the year 2021 and 2022 (Table- 1) showed that application of P @ 50% (T5) 75% (T4) and 100% (T3) through the conventional or granular DAP only, were significantly increased the soil microbial biomass carbon with increasing dose of phosphorous (50%-100% P) and respectively recorded the soil microbial biomass carbon at 60 DAT and 90 DAT 192.21, 196.01, 206.00 and 207.59, 212.56, 220.50 ug C/g soil in year 2021 while soil microbial biomass carbon at 60 DAT and 90 DAT was recorded 193.00, 197.36, 207.13 and 209.23, 214.31, 222.22 ug

C/g soil in year 2022. These treatments were observed significantly superior over the control-T2 where 0% P (or no application) was applied.


UNDER PEER REVIEW

| Treat           | Treatment details   | SMBC 60 DAT (ug C/g soil) |          |          | SMBC 90 DAT (ug C/g soil) |          |          |
|-----------------|---|---------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------|----------|----------|
|                 |   | 2021                      | 2022     | Mean     | 2021                      | 2022     | Mean     |
| T <sub>1</sub>  | Absolute Control (N0:P0: K0)  | 181.21c                   | 182.00c  | 181.61c  | 194.67c                   | 196.36c  | 195.52c  |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 0 % P (Control P0); (NPK 120:0:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                             | 184.05c                   | 185.51c  | 184.78c  | 196.50c                   | 198.21c  | 197.36c  |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 100 % P through DAP (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 206.00a                   | 207.13a  | 206.57a  | 220.50a                   | 222.22a  | 221.36a  |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | 75 % P through DAP (NPK 120:45:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                             | 196.01b                   | 197.36b  | 196.69b  | 212.60a                   | 214.31a  | 213.46a  |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 50% P through DAP (NPK 120:30:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                              | 192.21b                   | 193.00b  | 192.61b  | 207.53b                   | 209.23b  | 208.38b  |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | T4 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 194.10b                   | 195.56b  | 194.83b  | 211.00a                   | 212.70ab | 211.85a  |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | T4 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + FS @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP                     | 195.02b                   | 196.48b  | 195.75b  | 212.03a                   | 214.06a  | 213.05a  |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 189.80b                   | 190.60b  | 190.20b  | 209.47b                   | 211.83b  | 210.65b  |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 190.04b                   | 191.50b  | 190.77b  | 210.00b                   | 212.03b  | 211.02b  |
| T <sub>10</sub> | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP   | 188.75bc                  | 189.54bc | 189.15bc | 209.70b                   | 211.42b  | 210.56b  |
| T <sub>11</sub> | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP   | 190.42b                   | 191.22b  | 190.82b  | 210.70ab                  | 212.73a  | 211.72ab |
| T <sub>12</sub> | T5 + Seed Treat. @ 5 ml / kg seed + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP | 191.52b                   | 192.32b  | 191.92b  | 206.70b                   | 208.44b  | 207.57b  |
|                 | <b>SEm (±)</b>  | 3.29                      | 3.25     | 3.26     | 3.43                      | 3.27     | 3.29     |
|                 | <b>C.D. (0.05)</b>  | 9.64                      | 9.52     | 9.56     | 10.06                     | 9.59     | 9.64     |
|                 | <b>C.V. %</b>   | 2.97                      | 2.92     | 2.94     | 2.84                      | 2.69     | 2.72     |

**TABLE 1- Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on soil microbial biomass carbon of rice crop during 2021 and 2022 (ug C/g soil)**



**Fig. 1- Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on soil microbial biomass carbon 60 DAT of rice during 2021 and 2022 (ug C/g soil)**



**Fig. 2- Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on soil microbial biomass carbon 90 DAT of rice during 2021 and 2022 (ug C/g soil)**

## 2. Soil fungi of rice crop of rice during 2021 and 2022 ( $\times 10^4$ cfu $g^{-1}$ )

The results of soil fungi 60 DAT and 90 DAT of rice in the year 2021 and 2022 (Table- 2) showed that application of P @ 50% (T5) 75% (T4) and 100% (T3) through the conventional or granular DAP only, were significantly increased the soil fungi with increasing dose of phosphorous (50%-100% P) and respectively recorded the soil fungi 11.75, 12.75, 12.83 and 5.95, 6.90, 7.08 ( $\times 10^4$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) soil at 60 DAT and 90 DAT respectively in year 2021 while soil fungi was recorded 12.38, 13.37, 13.46 and 7.27, 7.94, 8.26 ( $\times 10^4$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) soil at 60 DAT and 90 DAT respectively in year 2022. These treatments were observed significantly superior over the control-T2 where 0% P (or no application) was

applied. No variation in the soil fungi due to application @ 2 and 4 ml/l concentration of Nano-DAP were also observed. Treatments of roots and seeds treated through the Nano-DAP showed similar effect and recorded at par soil fungi.




Fig. 3- Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on fungi of soil 60 DAT in rice during 2021 and 2022 (x 10<sup>4</sup>cfu g<sup>-1</sup>).




Fig. 4- Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on fungi of soil 90 DAT in rice during 2021 and 2022 (x 10<sup>4</sup>cfu g<sup>-1</sup>).

| Treat                 | Treatment details   | Fungi 60 DAT (x 10 <sup>4</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |         | Fungi 90 DAT (x 10 <sup>4</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ) |       |       |
|-----------------------|---|---|---------|---------|---|-------|-------|
|                       |   | 2021  | 2022    | Mean    | 2021  | 2022  | Mean  |
| <b>T<sub>1</sub></b>  | Absolute Control (N0:P0: K0)  | 10.22c  | 10.85c  | 10.53c  | 3.75g   | 4.27e | 4.01e |
| <b>T<sub>2</sub></b>  | 0 % P (Control P0); (NPK 120:0:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                             | 10.26c  | 10.89c  | 10.58c  | 4.04g   | 5.01d | 4.52d |
| <b>T<sub>3</sub></b>  | 100 % P through DAP (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 12.83a  | 13.46a  | 13.14a  | 7.08a   | 8.26a | 7.67a |
| <b>T<sub>4</sub></b>  | 75 % P through DAP (NPK 120:45:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                             | 12.75a  | 13.37a  | 13.06a  | 6.90ab  | 7.94a | 7.42a |
| <b>T<sub>5</sub></b>  | 50% P through DAP (NPK 120:30:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                              | 11.75b  | 12.38b  | 12.06b  | 5.95d   | 7.27c | 6.61c |
| <b>T<sub>6</sub></b>  | T4 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 12.18ab   | 13.09ab | 12.64ab | 6.38cd  | 7.03b | 6.71b |
| <b>T<sub>7</sub></b>  | T4 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + FS @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP                     | 12.20a  | 13.11a  | 12.66a  | 6.46bc  | 7.08b | 6.77b |
| <b>T<sub>8</sub></b>  | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 11.74b  | 12.36b  | 12.05b  | 5.50ef  | 6.02c | 5.76c |
| <b>T<sub>9</sub></b>  | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 11.76b  | 12.39b  | 12.08b  | 5.66e   | 6.18c | 5.92c |
| <b>T<sub>10</sub></b> | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP   | 11.75b  | 12.38b  | 12.06b  | 5.48f   | 6.00c | 5.74c |
| <b>T<sub>11</sub></b> | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP   | 11.77b  | 12.40b  | 12.08b  | 5.65e   | 6.17c | 5.91c |
| <b>T<sub>12</sub></b> | T5 + Seed Treat. @ 5 ml / kg seed + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP | 11.72b  | 12.35b  | 12.03b  | 5.51e   | 6.04c | 5.78c |
|                       | <b>SEm (±)</b>  | 0.23  | 0.27    | 0.25    | 0.15  | 0.2   | 0.11  |
|                       | <b>C.D. (0.05)</b>  | 0.68  | 0.8     | 0.73    | 0.45  | 0.6   | 0.31  |
|                       | <b>C.V. %</b>   | 3.42  | 3.8     | 3.58    | 4.67  | 5.57  | 3.04  |

**TABLE 2-** Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on fungi of soil of rice crop during 2021 and 2022 (x 10<sup>4</sup> cfu g<sup>-1</sup>).

### 3. Total bacteria 90 DAT in rice during 2021 and 2022 ( $\times 10^6$ cfu $g^{-1}$ ).

The results of total bacteria 60 DAT and 90DAT of rice in the year 2021 and 2022 (Table- 3) showed that application of P @ 50% (T5) 75% (T4) and 100% (T3) through the conventional or granular DAP only, were significantly increased the total bacteria with increasing dose of phosphorous (50%-100% P) and respectively recorded the total bacteria 21.14, 22.91, 23.81 and 18.16, 18.55, 19.48 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in year 2021 while total bacteria was recorded 22.57, 24.34, 25.24 and 18.43, 18.75, 19.75 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in year 2022. These treatments were observed significantly superior over the control-T2 where 0% P (or no application) was applied.

The total bacteria 60 DAT of treatments of foliar spray of Nano-DAP at 30 DAT @ 2 ml (T6) and @ 5 ml / l (T7) with the common application of 75% P through granular DAP and root dipping of Nano-DAP (@ 5 ml/ l) were recorded highest total bacteria 22.88 and 22.90 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in year 2021 and 24.31 and 24.32 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in year 2022 among all the treatments of Nano-DAP and found at par with each other. Treatments with 50 % P in combination of Nano -DAP showed at par values with T6 and T7. Both the treatments were also observed statistically at par with 100% P application through granular DAP (T3) 23.81 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in 2021 and (T3) 25.24 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in 2022. Treatments T8 and T9 which were with 50 % P in combination of Nano -DAP showed at par values with T6 and T7 in both the years.

The total bacteria of treatments at 90 DAT of foliar spray of Nano-DAP at 30 DAT @ 2 ml (T6) and @ 5 ml / l (T7) with the common application of 75% P through granular DAP and root dipping of Nano-DAP (@ 5 ml/ l) were recorded highest total bacteria 19.10 and 19.12 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) soil in year 2021 and 19.33 and 19.31 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in year 2022 among all the treatments of Nano-DAP and found at par with each other. Both the treatments were also observed statistically at par with 100% P application through granular DAP (T3) 19.48 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in year 2021 and (T3) 19.75 ( $\times 10^6$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in year 2022. These results showed that saving of 25% dose of P through the foliar application and root dipping with Nano-DAP. No variation in the total bacteria due to application @ 2 and 4 ml/l concentration of Nano-DAP were observed.

**TABLE 3- Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on total bacteria of rice crop during 2021 and 2022 (x 10<sup>6</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup>).**

| Treat           | Treatment details   | Total bacteria 60 DAT (x 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU g <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |         | Total bacteria 90 DAT (x 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU g <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |         |
|-----------------|---|--|---------|---------|--|---------|---------|
|                 |   | 2021   | 2022    | Mean    | 2021   | 2022    | Mean    |
| T <sub>1</sub>  | Absolute Control (N0:P0: K0)  | 18.51d   | 19.94d  | 19.23d  | 16.40d   | 16.60d  | 16.50d  |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 0 % P (Control P0); (NPK 120:0:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                             | 19.01d   | 20.44d  | 19.72d  | 17.13c   | 17.47c  | 17.30c  |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 100 % P through DAP (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 23.81a   | 25.24a  | 24.52a  | 19.48a   | 19.75a  | 19.62a  |
| T <sub>4</sub>  | 75 % P through DAP (NPK 120:45:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                             | 22.91a   | 24.34a  | 23.62a  | 18.55ab  | 18.75ab | 18.65ab |
| T <sub>5</sub>  | 50% P through DAP (NPK 120:30:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                              | 21.14c   | 22.57c  | 21.86c  | 18.16b   | 18.43b  | 18.30b  |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | T4 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 22.88a   | 24.31a  | 23.59a  | 19.10a   | 19.33a  | 19.22a  |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | T4 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + FS @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP                     | 22.90a   | 24.32a  | 23.61a  | 19.12a   | 19.32a  | 19.22a  |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 22.71ab  | 24.14a  | 23.42ab | 17.70b   | 18.04b  | 17.87b  |
| T <sub>9</sub>  | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 22.78a   | 24.21a  | 23.49a  | 17.84b   | 18.11b  | 17.98b  |
| T <sub>10</sub> | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml / L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP  | 22.48b   | 24.03b  | 23.25b  | 17.90b   | 18.07b  | 17.98b  |
| T <sub>11</sub> | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml / L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP  | 22.54b   | 24.09ab | 23.32b  | 17.91b   | 18.15b  | 18.03b  |
| T <sub>12</sub> | T5 + Seed Treat. @ 5 ml / kg seed + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP | 22.47b   | 24.00b  | 23.24b  | 17.67bc  | 17.97bc | 17.82bc |
|                 | <b>SEm (±)</b>  | 0.41   | 0.4     | 0.4     | 0.33   | 0.37    | 0.33    |
|                 | <b>C.D. (0.05)</b>  | 1.19   | 1.17    | 1.17    | 0.96   | 1.09    | 0.97    |
|                 | <b>C.V. %</b>   | 3.19   | 2.94    | 3.04    | 3.15   | 3.52    | 3.16    |




Fig. 5- Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on total bacteria in soil 60 DAT in rice during 2021 and 2022 ( $\times 10^6$  CFU  $g^{-1}$ ).




Fig. 6. Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on total bacteria in soil 90 DAT in rice during 2021 and 2022 ( $\times 10^6$  CFU  $g^{-1}$ ).

#### **4. Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria of rice crop ( $\times 10^4$ cfu $g^{-1}$ )**

The results of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria 60 DAT and 90 DAT of rice in the year 2021 (Table- 4) showed that application of P @ 100% (T3) 75% (T4) and 50% (T5) through the conventional or granular DAP only, were significantly increased the phosphorus solubilizing bacteria with decreasing dose of phosphorous (100%-50% P) and respectively recorded the phosphorus solubilizing bacteria 35.37, 39.40, 44.10 and 32.71, 36.52, 41.29 ( $\times 10^4$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) in soil at 60 DAT and 90 DAT in year 2021 while phosphorus solubilizing bacteria was recorded 36.37, 41.07, 45.10 and 34.73, 38.80, 44.20 ( $\times 10^4$  cfu  $g^{-1}$ ) soil at 60 DAT and 90 DAT in year 2022. Treatment T5- 50% P through DAP recorded highest PSB among all the treatments. These treatments were observed significantly superior over the control-T2 where 0% P (or no application) was applied.

Results at 60 DAT and 90 DAT among various treatments of 50% P application through the granular DAP with seed treatment with Nano-DAP at nursery (T12) and root treatment with Nano-DAP at transplanting (T8-T11) were given with foliar spray of different concentration of Nano-DAP (@ 2 or 4 ml/l) at 30 DAT (one time application) or at 25 and 45 DAT (two times application), were recorded at par phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (43.03 – 43.80 and 40.40 – 40.85  $\times 10^4$  cfu  $g^{-1}$  60 DAT and 90 DAT, respectively) in year 2021 and (44- 44.87 and 43.13- 43.80  $\times 10^4$  cfu  $g^{-1}$  60 DAT and 90 DAT, respectively) in year 2022. These treatments (T8 - T12) were also found at par with T5 (50 % P through granular DAP) observed statistical higher T3 (100% P through DAP). These results also showed saving of 25- 50% P through the application of Nano-DAP. No variation in the phosphorus solubilizing bacteria due to application @ 2 and 4 ml/l concentration of Nano-DAP were also observed. Treatments of roots and seeds treated through the Nano-DAP showed similar effect and recorded at phosphorus solubilizing bacteria.

**TABLE 4- Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on phosphorus solubilizing bacteria in soil of rice crop during 2021 and 2022 (x 10<sup>4</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup>).**

| Treat                 | Treatment details   | Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria 60 DAT (x 10 <sup>4</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |         | Phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (x 10 <sup>4</sup> cfu g <sup>-1</sup> ) 90 DAT |         |         |
|-----------------------|---|--|---------|---------|--|---------|---------|
|                       |   | 2021   | 2022    | Mean    | 2021   | 2022    | Mean    |
| <b>T<sub>1</sub></b>  | Absolute Control (N0:P0: K0)  | 19.73c   | 20.73c  | 20.23d  | 15.70e   | 16.87d  | 16.29d  |
| <b>T<sub>2</sub></b>  | 0 % P (Control P0); (NPK 120:0:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                             | 20.20c   | 21.17c  | 20.68d  | 18.76d   | 19.20d  | 18.98d  |
| <b>T<sub>3</sub></b>  | 100 % P through DAP (NPK 120:60:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 35.37b   | 36.37b  | 35.87c  | 32.71c   | 34.73c  | 33.72c  |
| <b>T<sub>4</sub></b>  | 75 % P through DAP (NPK 120:45:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                             | 39.40ab  | 41.07a  | 40.23b  | 36.52b   | 38.80b  | 37.66b  |
| <b>T<sub>5</sub></b>  | 50% P through DAP (NPK 120:30:40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )                              | 44.10a   | 45.10a  | 44.60a  | 41.29a   | 44.20a  | 42.75a  |
| <b>T<sub>6</sub></b>  | T4 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 39.77a   | 40.47ab | 40.12b  | 35.28bc  | 37.67bc | 36.47bc |
| <b>T<sub>7</sub></b>  | T4 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + FS @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP                     | 39.73a   | 40.80a  | 40.27b  | 32.57c   | 35.20c  | 33.89c  |
| <b>T<sub>8</sub></b>  | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 43.47a   | 44.47a  | 43.97a  | 40.40a   | 43.50a  | 41.95a  |
| <b>T<sub>9</sub></b>  | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml /L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml/ L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP           | 43.30a   | 44.00a  | 43.65a  | 40.75a   | 43.80a  | 42.28a  |
| <b>T<sub>10</sub></b> | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml / L + Foliar Spray @ 2 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP  | 43.03a   | 44.13a  | 43.58ab | 40.82a   | 43.67a  | 42.24a  |
| <b>T<sub>11</sub></b> | T5 + Root Dip. @ 5 ml / L + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 25 and 45 DAT with Nano DAP  | 43.80a   | 44.80a  | 44.30a  | 40.63a   | 43.33a  | 41.98a  |
| <b>T<sub>12</sub></b> | T5 + Seed Treat. @ 5 ml / kg seed + Foliar Spray @ 4 ml / L at 30 DAT with Nano DAP | 43.50a   | 44.60a  | 44.05a  | 40.85a   | 43.13a  | 41.99a  |
|                       | <b>SEm (±)</b>  | 1.74   | 1.51    | 1.24    | 1.03   | 1.16    | 0.93    |
|                       | <b>C.D. (0.05)</b>  | 5.11   | 4.42    | 3.64    | 3.02   | 3.41    | 2.72    |
|                       | <b>C.V. %</b>   | 7.98   | 6.7     | 5.59    | 5.14   | 5.44    | 4.48    |




Fig.-7 Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on phosphorus solubilizing bacteria in soil 60 DAT in rice during 2021 and 2022 ( $\times 10^4$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>).




Fig.-8 Effect of application of Nano-DAP fertilizer on phosphorus solubilizing bacteria in soil 90 DAT in rice during 2021 and 2022 ( $\times 10^4$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 4. Conclusion

The results showed that application of 75% P through DAP (T6 and T7) in combination with nano DAP and treatment with 100 % P through DAP gave at par result in soil microbial biomass carbon, fungus and total bacteria colony count in rice during both years. In phosphorus solubilizing bacteria treatment with 50 % P through DAP and combination of Nano- DAP with it gave at par results. The result soil microbial biomass carbon, fungus and total bacteria colony count reveals that 25% of DAP fertilizer can be saved by application through combination of nano DAP fertilizer with DAP and the result phosphorus solubilizing bacteria reveals that 50% of DAP fertilizer can be saved by application through combination of nano DAP fertilizer with DAP in rice.

#### 6. References

- Agriculture statistics table year. 2021. Chhattisgarh Government (Land records), Revenue department Chhattisgarh, New Raipur, Atal Nagar, pp.33-69.
- Dhanda, S. *et al.* (2022) 'Emerging issues and potential opportunities in the rice–wheat cropping system of north-western India', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13. doi:10.3389/fpls.2022.832683.
- Kalwani, M., Chakdar, H., Srivastava, A., Pabby, S. and Shukla, P. 2022. Effects of nanofertilizers on soil and plant-associated microbial communities: Emerging trends and perspectives, Elsevier, Chemosphere 287- 132107.
- Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare United Nations. 2013. World projected to reach 9.6 billion by 2050.
- Timsina, J. and Connor, D.J., 2001. Productivity and management of rice–wheat cropping systems: issues and challenges. *Field crops research*, 69 (2), pp.93-132.