

## Original Research Article

### **Influence of Different Potting Media on Growth, flowering and Yield of Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthemagrandiflora*) cv. Yellow Liliput**

**Comment [DA1]:** different, potting media on growth and yield...

#### **ABSTRACT**

The present investigation was laid out in Completely Randomized design (CRD) with 09 treatments and each replicated thrice. The treatment T<sub>2</sub> i.e., Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1) reported significantly better Performance compared to other treatments, in terms of Growth parameters like plant height (60.50 cm), Number of leaves per plant (64.00), plant spread (27.80 cm), leaf length (7.60 cm); Flowering parameters like days to first flower bud appearance (84.00 days), days to 50% flowering (120.00 days), flowering duration (74.00 days), flower diameter (5.70 cm), stalk length (11.50 cm) and Yield parameters like number of flowers per plant (72.00), individual flower weight (3.20 g), flower yield per plant (152.00 g), flower yield per 100 pots (15.20 kg).

**Comment [DA2]:** replace with nine

**Comment [DA3]:** write this in full at first mention here.

**Comment [DA4]:** 1. What are other treatments?  
2. Which one is the control?  
3. What are the performance indices?  
4. Duration of the experiment?  
5. Statistical tools employed?  
6. Results obtained???  
7. Conclusion???  
8. Application of your results???

**Keywords:** *Chrysanthemum, cv. Yellow Liliput, Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1), media, yield, Value-added products.*

This abstract doesn't present the context and content of the work. It needs to be rewritten as outlined above

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora*) occupies a prominent place in ornamental horticulture, and it is one of the commercially exploited flower crop. In many countries, including the United States and Japan, it is considered as the number one crop. While in other countries, it ranks next to rose in value of the crop produced. Chrysanthemum belongs to the family Asteraceae and is known as 'Queen of the East' and 'Glory of the East' having diploid chromosome number 2n-18. It is commonly known as 'Gaul e Dhaudi' and 'Sevanti' in Hindi and Gujrati, respectively. Chrysanthemum word derived from Greek word chryso- golden and anthemon- flower. It is popular commercial flower grown for cut as well as a pot plant in different parts of the world. Chrysanthemum ranked third as a cut flower after rose and carnation and fifth as a pot plant during 2014 (Anonymous, 2015).

**Comment [DA5]:** Write the scientific name of Rose in bracket

**Comment [DA6]:** Write in italics

The limited flowering period of Chrysanthemum is the main bottleneck for the commercialization of this crop (Singh R and Bala M., 2018). The current trend in the Chrysanthemum industry is focused on improving the quality of flowers and creating an

environmentally friendly production system. In order to achieve these goals and accelerate the production of Chrysanthemums, further innovations are needed in improving the fertilization system and other production technologies (Jiet *et al.*, 2017). Potting media is one of the most important factors required for the production of good quality pot mum (Dubey *et al.*, 2013). Growth medium is known to have effect of value of potted ornamental plants and plays an important role in germination rate and many other physiological parameters including plant height, number of leaves, spike length, number of florets per spike, spike diameter and yield etc., (Ikramet *et al.*, 2012).

With increased demand of potted ornamentals, the need for a light weight soilless growing medium has become more desirable due to their easy mobility and display during exhibitions and flower shows (Dubey *et al.*, 2013). The various soilless media i.e. Cocopeat, farmyard manure, Vermicompost etc. altered the physico-chemical characteristics of the growing mixtures and affect the plant growth, nutritional status (Beckmann-Cavalcante *et al.*, 2009) and value of potted ornamental (Vendrameet *et al.*, 2005). Synthetic aggregates (SA) were also developed as alternative potting media for ornamental plant production (Jayasinghe 2012). The aeration and water retention status of growing media were essential to maintain equilibrium between moisture content and gaseous exchange as well as for maintaining keeping quality of chrysanthemum in a limited volume of a pot (Kukalet *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, it becomes pertinent to formulate a soilless growing mixtures amended with optimum proportions of media for growing plants in limited volume of containers. Cocopeat had been used as a light weight media constituent to raise ornamental potted plants of acceptable quality (Jeyaseeli and Raj 2010; Duggan-Jones *et al.*, 2013). Thus, this experiment was conducted to study the effect of different potting media on growth, flowering, yield and value added products of chrysanthemum as well as to work out the economics of different treatments.

## Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during 2022, in Horticultural research field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P.) which is located at 25°39' 42''N latitude, 81°67'56'' E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level. This area is situated on the right side of the Yamuna River by the side of Prayagraj - Rewa road about 12 km from the city. The potting media used during this experiment was soil, sand, Vermicompost, FYM, Cocopeat, perlite. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design with nine treatments i.e., T<sub>0</sub>-Soil (control), T<sub>1</sub>-Control (Soil + Sand +

Comment [DA7]: mixture

Comment [DA8]: upper case or lower case, be consistent. Check your abstract and introduction caps

Comment [DA9]: delete

Comment [DA10]: write full at first mention here

Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1)), T<sub>2</sub>- (Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1)), T<sub>3</sub>- (Soil + FYM (1:1)), T<sub>4</sub>-( Soil + Vermicompost (1:1)), T<sub>5</sub>- (Soil + Sand + FYM (1:1:1)), T<sub>6</sub>-( Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)), T<sub>7</sub>-( Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + Vermicompost (1:1:1:1)), T<sub>8</sub>-( Soil + Sand + Perlite + Vermicompost (1:1:1:1)), each replicated thrice. The treatment means were compared using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% level of Significance (**Duncan 1995**).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1. Growth parameters

#### 1.1 Plant height

The maximum plant height at 90 days after transplanting was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (60.50 cm), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (59.60 cm) While, minimum plant height was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (48.70 cm). The increase in plant height in T<sub>2</sub> - Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) provide more nutritive media resulted in increment to plant height. which might be due that potting media combination T<sub>2</sub> alone had lower down clay content, pH, compactness, which improve drainage, aeration, water holding capacity and highest nutrients uptake by root system respectively. Present findings are in conformity with the findings of **Sujatha A.Nair and T. Usha Bharathi (2015)** and **Bala and Singh (2013)**.

**Comment [DA11]:** write as "Sujatha and Usha (2015)"

#### 1.2 Number of leaves per plant

The maximum number of leaves per plant at 90 days after transplanting was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (64.00), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (63.00) While, minimum number of leaves per plant was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (48.00).

Major food manufacturing units in plants are leaves that are influenced by environmental conditions and soil is one of the factors which have prime importance in this regard. More number of leaves in plants reflects its good vigour and suitability of environment. Similar results were also observed by **Mehmood et al. (2013)**.

**Comment [DA12]:** Change this to Tahir et al

#### 1.3 Plant spread

The maximum plant spread at 90 days after transplanting was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (27.80 cm), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (27.00 cm) While, minimum plant spread was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (18.60 cm).

The plant spread was increased was mainly due to production of increased number of branches and wider angles from point of origin. Greater plant spread shows better vegetative growth of plants. Similar results were also obtained by **Kala et al. (2020)**.

**Comment [DA13]:** Change this to Disha et al

#### **1.4 Leaf length**

The maximum leaf length at 90 days after transplanting was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (7.60 cm), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (7.20 cm) While, minimum leaf length was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (3.60 cm).

The increasing leaf length might be due to the fact that growth substrate having good favourable physicochemical properties and high nutrient content that supported proper plant growth. If any nutrient in the selected growing medium is absent or in less amount then it reduced the plant growth and development. Similar results were also obtained by **Chavada et al. (2017)**.

## **2. Flowering parameters**

### **2.1 Days to first flower appearance**

The minimum days to first flower appearance was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (84.00 days), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (85.00 days) While, maximum days to first flower appearance was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (97.00 days).

This might be due to vigorous growth of the plant growing in the media and the rapid uptake of nutrients and water has a pronounce effect on early production. Similar results were observed by (**Gupta et al., 2004**) in Gerbera and (**Jawaharlal et al., 2001**) in Anthurium.

### **2.2 Days taken for 50% flowering**

The minimum days taken for 50% flowering was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (120.00 days), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (126.50 days) While, maximum days taken for 50% flowering was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (142.00 days).

This might be due to vigorous growth of the plant growing in the media and the rapid uptake of nutrients and water has a pronounce effect on early production. Similar results were observed by (**Gupta et al., 2004**) in Gerbera and (**Jawaharlal et al., 2001**) in Anthurium.

### 2.3 Flower duration

The maximum flower duration was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (74.00 days), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (73.00 days) While, minimum flower duration was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (59.00 days).

Soil based media is rich in minerals and micronutrients as compared to most soil-less media which provides limited or no nutrients. This might be the reason for the maximum flowering duration in the soil based potting media combination. The results are in findings with (Sujatha A. Nair and T. Usha Bharathi 2015).

Comment [DA14]: rewrite this as earlier corrected

### 2.4 Flower diameter

The maximum flower diameter was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (5.70 cm), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (5.40 cm) While, minimum flower diameter was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (2.50 cm).

Increase in flower diameter is mainly due to the genetic make-up and which might have been further modified by prevailing environmental conditions and potting media combination Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1). It helps in more accumulation of photosynthates in the sink (flower) from source (leaves). Continuous availability of photosynthates, cell division, cell elongation & cell enlargement remain on peak resulted in higher flower diameter. Similar results were also obtained by Kala et al. (2020).

Comment [DA15]: Change to Disha et al

### 2.5 Stalk length

The maximum stalk length was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (11.50), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (11.00) While, minimum stalk length was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (6.30).

This might be due to growing substrate with excellent nutrient quality influences plant growth. Availability of P contents in soil has positive relationship with flowering indices. Treder (2008) reported that plants have long stem in growing media with optimum phosphorus contents. Potting media comprising FYM showed maximum amount of P content.

## 3. Yield parameters

### 3.1 Number of flowers per plant

The maximum number of flowers per plant was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (72.00), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (69.00) While, minimum number of flowers per plant was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (55.00).

An increase in number of flowers per plant could be attributed to overall vegetative growth of chrysanthemum plants grown in these substrates grater carbohydrate accumulation due to increased photosynthesis might have caused the production of more number of flowers per plant which turn might have been caused due to the optimum balance and supply of plant nutrients in the substrate. Similarly, increased availability of essential elements at critical growth stages could have led to increase in number of flowers. These results are in corroboration with the findings of *Bisht et al. (2012)*, *Singh et al. (2009)*, *MadhuBala and Kushal Singh (2013)* in chrysanthemum and *Jawaharlal et al. (2001)* in Anthurium.

**Comment [DA16]:** Rewrites Madu and KUshal 2013

### 3.2 Individual flower weight

The maximum individual flower weight was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (3.80 g), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (3.40 g) While, minimum individual flower weight was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (1.30 g).

Reason of maximum flower weight might be due to more availability of nutrients, media and genetic make-up. Similar finding have been reported by *Chauhan et al. (2014)* in gerbera.

### 3.3 Flower yield per plant

The maximum flower yield per plant was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (152.00 g), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (136.00 g) While, minimum flower yield per plant was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (52.00 g).

An increase in flower yield per plant could be attributed to overall vegetative growth of chrysanthemum plants grown in these substrates grater carbohydrate accumulation due to increased photosynthesis might have caused the production of more number of flowers per plant which turn might have been caused due to the optimum balance and supply of plant nutrients in the substrate. Similarly, increased availability of essential elements at critical growth stages could have led to increase in number of flowers. These results are in corroboration with the findings of *Bisht et al. (2012)*.

### 3.4 Flower yield per 100 pots

The maximum flower yield per 100 pots was observed in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> – Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1) (15.20kg), followed by T<sub>1</sub>- Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1) (13.60kg) While, minimum flower yield per 100 pots was observed in T<sub>0</sub> – soil (5.20 kg).

An increase in flower yield per 100 pots could be attributed to overall vegetative growth of chrysanthemum plants grown in these substrates grater carbohydrate accumulation due to

increased photosynthesis might have caused the production of more number of flowers per plant which turn might have been caused due to the optimum balance and supply of plant nutrients in the substrate. Similarly, increased availability of essential elements at critical growth stages could have led to increase in number of flowers. These results are in corroboration with the findings of **Bisht *et al.* (2012)**.

### Conclusion

From the Present investigation, it is concluded that treatment T<sub>2</sub> i.e., (Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1)) performed best in terms of plant height, No. of leaves per plant, plant spread, leaf length, days to first flower appearance, days to 50% flowering, flower duration, flower diameter, stalk length, number of flowers per plant, plant, Individual flower weight, flower yield per plant (g), flower yield per 100 pots.

Comment [DA17]: number

Comment [DA18]: there is need for a statement of recommendation at the end of your conclusion

### References

- **Anonymous (2015)** Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture Board. Govt. of India, New Delhi.
- **Bisht, Deepti, Kumar A, Singh N. (2012)** Effect of growing media on flowering characters of chrysanthemum cv. Mother Teresa. *J Ornament Hort*; **15**(1):27-31.
- **Beckmann-Cavalcante, M.Z., Pivetta, K.F.L., Meinken, E. and Roeber, R. (2009)** Growth of (*Chrysanthemum grandiflorum*) in different peats and change of peat properties during cultivation. *Acta Horticulture*; 819:181-184.
- **Chauhan R. V., Varu D. K., Kava KP, Savaliya V. M., (2014)** Effect of different media on growth, flowering and cut flower yield of gerbera under protected condition. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*; **9**(1):28-31.
- **Chavada J. R., B. V. Thumar, A. N. Vihol, V. S. Patel and B. M. Padhiyar (2017)** Effect of Potting Media on Growth, Flower Yield and Quality of Rose (*Rosa hybrida* L.) cv. Top Secret under Protected Condition. *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci*; **5** (5): 821-827.
- **Disha Kala, L. N. Mahawer, H. L., Bairwa. (2020)** Response of potting media composition for pot mum chrysanthemum production (*Dendranthema grandiflora* L). *Int J Chem Stud*; **8**(2):1246-1251.
- **Duncan, D.B. (1955)** Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics*; **11**: 1-42

- **Duggan-Jones, D.I., Nichols, M.A. and Woolley, D.J. (2013)** Effect of physical characteristics of cocopeat on the productivity of greenhouse tomatoes. *Acta Horticulture* 1004: 101-106.
- **Dubey RK, Singh S, Kukal SS, Kalsi HS. ( 2013)** Evaluation of different organic growing media for growth and flowering of petunia. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal*; **44**: 1777–1785.
- **Ikram S, Habib U, Khalid N. (2012)** Effect of different potting media on growth and vase life of tuberose. *Pak J Agric Sci.*; **49**: 121-125.
- **Ji R, Dong G, Shi W, Min J. (2017)** Effects of liquid organic fertilizers on plant growth and rhizosphere soil characteristics of Chrysanthemum. *Sustainability*; **9**:1-16.
- **Gupta YC, Le QD, Dhiman SR, Jain R. (2004)** Standardization of growing media under protected environment for gerbera in mid hill of Himachal Pradesh. *J Ornament Hort.*; **7**: 99-102.
- **Jawaharlal M, PremJoshua J, Arumugam J, Arumugam T, Subramanian S, Vijaykumar M. (2001)** Standardization of growing media for anthurium (*Anthurium andreanum*) cv. Temptation under shade net house. *South Indian Horticulture*; **46**: 323-325.
- **Jayasinghe, G.Y. (2012)** Synthetic soil aggregates as a potting medium for ornamental plant production. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*; **35**(10):1441-1456.
- **Jeyaseeli, D. and Raj, S.P. (2010)** Physical characteristics of cocopeat pith as a function of its particle size to be used as soilless medium. *American Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environment Science*; **8**:431-437.
- **Kukal SS, Debasish S, Arnab B, Dubey RK. (2012)** Water retention characteristics of soil bio-amendments used as growing media in pot culture. *J App Hort.*; **14**: 92-97.
- **MadhuBala and Kushal Singh. (2013)** Effect of different potting media for pot mum production in chrysanthemum grown under open and polyhouse conditions. *J Ornament Hort.*; **16**(1-2):35-39.
- **Sujatha A. Nair and T. Usha Bharathi (2015)** Influence of potting media composition on pot mum production. *The Bioscan*; **10**(1):73-76.
- **Singh P, Dhaduk BK, Singh A, Yadav MK. (2009)** Effect of growing media on Anthurium cv. Flame. In: Book of Abstract National conference on Floriculture for Livelihood and Profitability at IARI, New Delhi., 132.

**Comment [DA19]:** present the initials of the authors according to the Journal's format

- **Singh R, Bala M. (2018)** Effect of artificial short day conditions on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium*) genotypes. *Int J Curr Microbio App Sci.*; **7**: 3770-3777.
- **TahirMehmood, Waqas Ahmad, KhawajaShafique Ahmad, JamilShafi, Muhammad AsifShehzad, Muhammad AqeelSarwar (2013)** Comparative Effect of Different Potting Media on Vegetative and Reproductive Growth of Floral Shower (*Antirrhinum majus* L.). *Universal Journal of Plant Science*; **1**(3): 104-111.
- **Treder. J. (2008)** The effects of cocopeat and fertilization on the growth and flowering of oriental lily 'star gazer', *Journal of Fruit Ornament and Plant Research*; **16**, 361-370.
- **Vendrame, A.W., Maguire, I. and Moore, K.K. (2005)** Growth of selected bedding plants as affected by different compost percentages. *Proceeding of Florida State Horticulture Society*; **118**:368-377.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1. Growth parameters of Different Potting Media on Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthemagrindiflora*) cv. Yellow Liliput.**

Notation	Treatments	plant height (cm)	Number of leaves per plant	Plant spread (cm)	Leaf length (cm)
T <sub>0</sub>	Soil (control)	48.70	48.00	18.60	3.60
T <sub>1</sub>	Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1)	59.60	63.00	27.00	7.20
T <sub>2</sub>	Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1)	60.50	64.00	27.80	7.60
T <sub>3</sub>	Soil + FYM (1:1)	55.00	58.00	22.70	5.00
T <sub>4</sub>	Soil + Vermicompost (1:1)	53.80	57.50	21.00	4.70
T <sub>5</sub>	Soil + Sand + FYM (1:1:1)	57.00	60.50	24.00	6.00
T <sub>6</sub>	Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)	56.40	59.00	23.40	5.60
T <sub>7</sub>	Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + Vermicompost (1:1:1:1)	58.50	62.00	25.50	6.40
T <sub>8</sub>	Soil + Sand + Perlite + Vermicompost (1:1:1:1)	59.00	62.50	26.00	6.80
<b>F-Test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>S.ED</b>		<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.66</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.52</b>
<b>CD(5%)</b>		<b>1.54</b>	<b>1.21</b>	<b>1.39</b>	<b>1.11</b>

**Table 2. Flowering parameters of Different Potting Media on Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthemagrandidiflora*) cv. Yellow Liliput.**

Notation	Treatments	First flower appearance (days)	50% flowering (days)	Flower duration(days)	Flower diameter (cm)	Stalk length (cm)
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	Soil (control)	97.00	142.00	59.00	2.50	6.30
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1)	85.00	126.50	73.00	5.40	11.00
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1)	84.00	120.00	74.00	5.70	11.50
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	Soil + FYM (1:1)	90.00	135.50	65.00	3.50	8.00
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	Soil + Vermicompost (1:1)	92.00	137.00	64.00	3.00	7.50
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + FYM (1:1:1)	88.50	132.00	68.00	4.20	9.00
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)	89.00	134.00	67.00	3.90	8.60
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + Vermicompost (1:1:1:1)	87.00	130.50	70.00	4.80	9.80
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Perlite + Vermicompost (1:1:1:1)	86.50	129.00	71.00	5.00	10.40
<b>F-Test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>S.ED</b>		<b>1.14</b>	<b>1.11</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.36</b>

<b>CD(5%)</b>	<b>2.42</b>	<b>2.34</b>	<b>2.12</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>0.76</b>
---------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

**Table 3. Yield parameters of Different Potting Media on Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthemagrandidiflora*) cv. Yellow Liliput.**

<b>Notation</b>	<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Flowers per plant</b>	<b>Individual flower weight (g)</b>	<b>Flower yield per plant (g)</b>	<b>Flower yield per 100 pots (kg)</b>
<b>T<sub>0</sub></b>	Soil (control)	55.00	1.30	52.00	5.20
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + FYM (1:1:1:1)	69.00	3.40	136.00	13.60
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Perlite + FYM (1:1:1:1)	72.00	3.80	152.00	15.20
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	Soil + FYM (1:1)	60.00	2.00	80.00	8.00
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	Soil + Vermicompost (1:1)	58.00	1.80	72.00	7.20
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + FYM (1:1:1)	64.00	2.70	108.00	10.80
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Vermicompost (1:1:1)	62.00	2.40	96.00	9.60
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Cocopeat + Vermicompost (1:1:1:1)	65.00	3.00	120.00	12.00
<b>T<sub>8</sub></b>	Soil + Sand + Perlite + Vermicompost (1:1:1:1)	67.00	3.20	128.00	12.80
<b>F-Test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>S.ED</b>		<b>0.95</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>6.39</b>	<b>0.64</b>

<b>CD(5%)</b>	<b>2.02</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>13.56</b>	<b>1.36</b>
---------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	-------------

UNDER PEER REVIEW

