

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104014
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and screening of lignocellulolytic microbes from cow dung for rapid composting of rice straw
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES. 1. Lignocellulolytic enzymes are a vital alternative to change of chemical agents in the most diverse industries, such as the textile, pulp, paper, food and beverage, biofuels, and bioremediation, among others, contributing to making them more sustainable processes and mitigate the current environmental crisis effects.</p> <p>2. YES</p> <p>3. YES</p> <p>4. YES</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. The literature part in the Introduction section is weak.</p> <p>2. Arrange keywords in alphabetical manner</p> <p>3. Detailed and accurate discussion is expected with recent references</p> <p>4. Write conclusions in point wise manner</p> <p>5. Insufficient references, Additional references are needed, Arrange references as per journal style.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Hema Krishna
Department, University & Country	Vignan Lara Institute of Technology and Science, India