

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103905
Title of the Manuscript:	A cluster analysis of Ricebean ( <i>Vigna umbellata</i> (Thumb.) Ohwi and Ohashi) accessions with specified NaCl concentration at seedling stage under controlled conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The problem of food security remains relevant, and providing the world's population with nutrients, especially proteins, is of strategic importance. The research carried out by the authors contains novelty and is of great importance for the field of crop production.</p> <p>2. The title of the article fully reflects the content of the conducted research. It needs to be clarified whether it is necessary to specify the name sodium chloride in full, or to leave it with the chemical formula.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is professionally written, taking into account the requirements of the journal. Contains an introduction, the purpose of the research and the methodology of conducting experiments, a summary of their results and prospects for implementation.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is generally used, the subdivisions correspond to the title. They are laid out sequentially and contain all the necessary information. In the section Results and their discussion, I would like to see the summarized results in the form of a table or a figure. The conclusions follow logically from the results of the work.</p> <p>5. The manuscript of the article contains the original results obtained by the authors. They are professionally summarized and fully discussed in comparison with the data of world literature. Therefore, I consider the manuscript to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Of the 48 literature sources available in the list, most are unfortunately outdated (almost 80%) and can be used to a certain extent only in the introduction. However, it is necessary to use more recent sources for the discussion.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript of the article is written in professional scientific English, it is easy to read and does not require correction.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Koshevoy Vsevolod</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>State Biotechnological University, Ukraine</b>