

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_103815</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Screening of Resistance Gene Analogue Marker(S) in Indian Bean [Lablab purpureus (L.) Sweet] against Yellow Mosaic Disease</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript describes the results of screen of India bean. The screen is common type of study which was conducted during early stages of starting of experiment to have better selecting of material for applying treatment or used for further needful development. Authors have to highlight how their work is different than earlier workers. The MS was well written and can be accept after minor correction.</li> <li><b>Yes</b></li> <li><b>Abstract:</b> Abstract is length and author can consider removing of 1 or 2 statement made in abstract which is having less importance. Information on source of genotypes OR area/climatic condition in which it grows need to be given in abstract.</li> <li><b>Yes</b></li> <li><b>Yes</b></li> <li><b>References: Optimum</b></li> </ol> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Information on losses caused by the disease under study need to be mentioned in quantifiable term as reported earlier;</p> <p><b>Material and methods:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Information on agro-climatic condition of selected location need to be given;</li> <li>Information on crop management practices also need to be given in short such as nutrient application rate, weed and sowing specification, etc.</li> </ul> <p><b>Results and discussion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some data need to give with statistical analysis of statistical significance [As most of the data is qualitative of not analyzed by following statistical procedure].</li> </ul> <p><b>Conclusion:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The conclusion should be concise, address the objectives of study and based on the data presented in MS;</li> <li>Avoid writing conclusion as result section and also avoid giving general information in conclusion.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	-	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amit A. Shahane</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central Agricultural University, India</b>