

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103423
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in Sweet potato [<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> (L.) Lam.] genotypes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript has some importance to the scientific community. 2. Yes, the Title is suitable. 3. The ABSTRACT is not comprehensive 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable to the scholarly community.	
Optional/General comments	Some corrections are given on the text in red colour. Delete those words marked.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tankeswar Gohain
Department, University & Country	Nagaland University, India