

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_102469</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CORRELATION AND PATH ANALYSIS OF OKRA(<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Monech) GERMPLASMS FOR FRUIT YIELD AND ITS COMPONENTS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p><b>Yes ! It is very useful and important because Study on correlation between several characters shows that selection for fruit yield must based on plant height, stem diameter, nodes plant-1, leaflet length, average fruit weight, fruit length, fruit girth, days to 1st flowering, days to 50% flowering, number of fruits plant-1, PDI at 30DAS, PDI at 45DAS, PDI at 60DAS, PDI at 75DAS.</b></p> <p><b>Yes the title of the article is suitable.</b></p> <p><b>Yes abstract is comprehensive</b></p> <p><b>Yes subsection titles are also appropriate.</b></p> <p><b>Yes it is scientifically correct</b></p> <p><b>Yes all recent references are used in the paper</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p><b>Yes it is good English</b></p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p><b>Good research</b></p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sharada Patil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Savitribai Phule Pune University, India</b>