

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_103538
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Anti-Diabetic Potentility of Ethanolic Extract of Andrographis paniculata in Alloxan Induced Rat Model.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijanr.com/index.php/IJANR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Somewhat, yes. I suggest the title 'An Assessment of Anti-Diabetic and Anti-Lipidimic Potentility of Ethanolic Extract of Andrographis paniculata in Alloxan Induced Rat Model.</p> <p>No. The abstract should be rewritten.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Recent references are needed since there is no reference from the last 5 years. Additionally, Materials and methods, and discussion did not contain any reference.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The paragraph (2.4. Experimental Guidelines) should be deleted. - Number of animals should be written in abstract and in materials and methods. - There is no discussion of the results and the author did not compare the results of this study with the results of other authors or researches. - Table 2 and table 3 were empty with no results. Justify. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruaa Fadhil Abbas Alzubaidi
Department, University & Country	Iraq