

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRCS_102804   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | SOIL MICROBIAL BIOMASS, MICROBIAL POPULATIONS AND DIVERSITY IN MAIZE-BANANA BASED AGROFORESTRY SYSTEM IN KISII COUNTY KENYA |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcs.com/index.php/AJRCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p><b>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights The Soil Microbial Biomass, Microbial Populations And Diversity In Maize-Banana Based Agroforestry System In Kisii County Kenya</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. The article abstract is suitable and comprehensive.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. The manuscript abstract is comprehensive and looks good.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the manuscript is divided into appropriate subsections. Article subsections and structure is appropriate.</b></p> <p><b>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the references are sufficient.</b></p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p><b>The article's language is quality suitable and appropriate. The parts highlighted and corrected in the paper need to be reframed so that they are more coherent. I have also suggested some grammatical corrections in colours. There are some spelling mistakes. I have corrected the same</b></p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p><b>It is a good research article and is important in developing countries working on nutrient management modules for crops. It is important in the agriculture sector.</b></p>  |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Kamal Prasad</b>             |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Xenesis Institute, India</b> |