

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_103496
Title of the Manuscript:	The Social Mapping of Fisherman Communities in Cangkol Village, Cirebon, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>For social sciences may important</p> <p>Yes suitable</p> <p>Yes, comprehensive</p> <p>It appropriate</p> <p>Some part need to improved such as in number of respondents enough</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>OK</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction</p> <p>1. Four points of fishing villages are scattered in Cirebon City: Samadikun Village, Coastal Village, Cangkol Village, and Kejawanan Village [3]. All of them are located in coastal areas. Therefore, Coastal Village is not a real-name village. Please give the authentic name village</p> <p>2. What is the meaning FAD, KUB? Please write full name</p> <p>3. Fishermen in Cangkol Village are more advanced than fishermen in other villages because they are more productive and good in their management [3]. Indicators of fishermen's progress are seen from fishermen's ability to make FADs, and marine tourism has been opened for tourists. The Red Snapper and Jenaha Joint Business Groups (KUB) in Cangkol Village are running actively so that the existing fishermen's associations are developing. This statement contradicts with fishing communities tend to have a low level of welfare in Cangkol Village or coastal village commonly. Please rewrite the connectivity between the sentences.</p> <p>Methodology</p> <p>1. Please give a map showing Java Inland, Cirebon City, Cangkol village</p> <p>2. Please state the number of respondents in each location (village) and how many percentages of the respondents were taken from the total fisherman in each village study.</p> <p>Respondents were taken based on the following criteria:</p> <p>a. Respondents work as full-time fishermen or main part-time fishermen;</p> <p>b. Respondents are members of fishermen groups;</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>c. Respondents are residents of Cangkol Village; d. Respondents are willing to be interviewed. Many kinds of fishermen can be differentiated based on the tonnage of the boat. With the more significant capacity of fishermen, the level of welfare may be relatively higher than traditional fishermen. Why is this criterion not included in the questionnaire for the fishermen's response?</p> <p>Result and Discussion Table 1. shows the number of respondents from Cangkol village is only 26 fishermen. It is too small compared to the availability of fishermen in Cangkol Village when looking from existing of the eight prayer rooms and two mosques in Cangkol Village. It may be more than 500-700 fishermen residing in Cangkol village. From the conclusion, I can see that the research on mapping fishing communities in Cangkol Village is only to identify the social structure of the fishing community of Kampung Cangkol. This study result does not give a solution for the fishermen on how to enhance income revenue and improve knowledge of the men and women fishermen in Cangkol village. Many things are identified but do not make sense, mainly for enhancing the prosperity of the fishermen. Please think about it.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunarto MS
Department, University & Country	Indonesia