

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_102060
Title of the Manuscript:	The Study Of Freshwater Indigenous Ornamental Fishes Available in Selected Sites Of Southern Bengal And Their Present Status In Natural Habitats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it reveals the diversity of native ornamental fish species in Southern Bengal and highlights their economic significance in the ornamental fish trade. It also emphasizes the need for conservation measures to protect these species from the negative impacts of unregulated trade and human activities.</p> <p>2. Yes, has the potential to reduce in size. Consider shortening the title to make it more concise and attention-grabbing.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract defines the motive of the entire article.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections clearly define what aspect of the experiment is taking place.</p> <p>5. Yes it highlights the economic significance in the ornamental fish trade</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1, Yes, it is suitable. However, there are few grammatical errors that require clear editing. Ensure to revise the grammar.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	RajniJohar Chhatwal
Department, University & Country	University of Delhi, Maitreyi College, India