

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_102060
Title of the Manuscript:	The Study Of Freshwater Indigenous Ornamental Fishes Available in Selected Sites Of Southern Bengal And Their Present Status In Natural Habitats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Study is very preliminary and restricted to certain area though the title is broad.</p> <p>Yes, but 'The study of' in the title will be changed to 'Studies on'</p> <p>Study design should be remove from the abstract; duration should be included.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Partially</p> <p>No,</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.Introduction a. swain et al., 2008 species number should be included b. This state is still now.....almost 85% - add reference c. Generic name <i>Colisa</i> should be changed to <i>Trichogaster</i> d. Follow the recent works in the Southern Bengal as like Paul & Chanda (2014), Sit et al., (2020), Sit et al., (2020, for Puntius species), Chanda & Jana (2021), Sit et al., (2022). e. 2. Experimental detail a. Add year after the reference Bloch & Schneider. b. Add collection spot of North 24 parganas c. Add a list of species collection date wise and place wise and number of specimen collected. 3. Result & discussion a. In table 1 Enlists change to Enlisted b. Add a table on district species distribution c Table number is not in order it should be on top of table Table-1 & 3 should be merged in a single table. d. In table no-5 Bengal danio should be change to <i>Devario devario</i> e. adds Scientist name and year after species name for all Scientific name of ornamental fish species. Example <i>Badis badis</i> (Hamilton, 1822)</p> <p>4.Conclusion a. It should be brief and concise.</p> <p>5. References a. Add more references from the relevant work of previous existing literature in South Bengal.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Angsuman Chanda
Department, University & Country	Raja N. L. Khan Women's College, India