

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_100492
Title of the Manuscript:	POLLUTION STATUS OF INLAND WATERWAYS SERVING AS RECEPTACLES TO PLASTIC WASTE IN RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1 Yes</p> <p>2 Yes</p> <p>3 Yes</p> <p>4 Yes</p> <p>5 Yes</p> <p>6 Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Some grammatical errors highlighted in green colour should be rectified.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1 Section 3.3 PCBs in water, sediment and fish is nothing but the data mentioned in Table 3 . It is advisable to replace it with a write up which includes the major findings of Table3.</p> <p>2 Conclusion part should be expanded with the future effects of the pollutant which are present in water up to objectionable limit and it should also include the remedial measure that should be taken in that particular area to prevent the population from harmful effects of the same there.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meena Chakraborty
Department, University & Country	Govt. Naveen College Bori, India