

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_104099
Title of the Manuscript:	Abdominal Wall Endometrioma after Cesarean
Type of the Article	Case Report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Ans- 1: Yes. The manuscript is important as it addresses an unusual condition which is getting increasingly common in recent times. This manuscript highlights the diagnosis, management and importance having suspicion of this in differential diagnosis.</p> <p>Ans- 2: More appropriate will be "caesarean scar endometriosis".</p> <p>Ans- 3: Yes.</p> <p>Ans- 4: Yes, it is appropriate.</p> <p>Ans- 5: yes, correct.</p> <p>Ans- 6: Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>Poor English with grammatical mistakes in innumerable numbers, so the authors are suggested to take a site to get the language corrected.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>A good case report, though neither rare, nor difficult to diagnose in the modern times. Factors for prevention have been discussed well, pathogenesis also covered well. In the treatment, laparoscopic management can also be pointed out even though briefly. Overall ok for publication with spelling and grammar check, and issues highlighted above.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nutan Jain</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vardhman Trauma &amp; Laparoscopy Centre, India</b>