

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_103274   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Pre and post training assessment of mango growers regarding mango production in Sindhudurg district of Maharashtra |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments<br><br><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5.</b><br><br><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | <p><b>The entire manuscript must first be adjusted to the exact format of the journal.</b></p> <p><b>The abstract should be brief and useful.</b><br/> <b>Rewrite the introduction.</b><br/> <b>Literature review means what studies have been done on the topic and what are you saying now.</b></p> <p><b>The research method and data collection method should be clarified.</b></p> <p><b>References should be written based on APA and powered.</b><br/> <b>Research limitations and suggestions for further studies should also be presented.</b><br/> <b>The all text should be correction about grammar mistakes.</b></p> |   |
| <b>Minor REVISION</b> comments<br><br><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   |   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |   |   |

**PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                      |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Shahram Gilaninia</b>             |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Islamic Azad University, Iran</b> |