

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_102196
Title of the Manuscript:	Ultrasonographic findings in Hyperuricemia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) The paper presented proved useful. Publishing the manuscript will definitely research scholars researching on the topic. I recommend this paper for publication and privileged to review the paper. We hope to receive further research on the data analysis done</p> <p>2) The title of the article corresponds to the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>3) The abstract is exhaustive.</p> <p>4) The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are compiled correctly.</p> <p>5) I consider the manuscript to be scientifically correct.</p> <p>6) The links are fresh and sufficient.</p> <p>I recommend studying the mechanism of action of light therapy with the Bioptron device and its use in all clinical cases of this pathology, even in the presence of oncology</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of the article in English is suitable for scientific communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bitsoev Vladimir Dodtievich
Department, University & Country	State Budgetary Healthcare Institution of the Moscow Region, Podolsk Regional Clinical Hospital, Russia