

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_104037
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management Practices on Soil Quality Indicators and Plant Growth in Greengram on Alfisol
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for scientific community. (This was a good research on legume crop to increase its production in nutrient depleted areas.) The title of the article is suitable. (If not please suggest an alternative title) The abstract of the article is comprehensive. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is an appropriate. The manuscript is scientifically correct. The references are sufficient and recent. However, all are required to follow the style of the current Journal. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> <p>An additional suggestion / comments added on the right side of the original manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract: aim and back ground is missing Keywords: arrange in alphabetical order Introduction: few literature citation in support of title of MS is required Results and Discussion: the obtained result value need to revisit References: All references are required to follow the style of the current journal. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>suitable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>May be accepted with minor revision.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Shafiq
Department, University & Country	University of Karachi, Pakistan