

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_104010</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Beneficial effect of thermochemical organic fertilizer and root endophytic fungi on growth of tomato</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>In recent scenario the new thermochemical waste processing method provides a quick and sustainable solution for hygienic waste disposal and the productivity.</p> <p>The Beneficial effect of thermochemical organic fertilizer and root endophytic fungi on the growth of tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> L.)</p> <p>Yes, it is written as comprehensive manner</p> <p>Yes, it is relevant to the subject and the work carried out</p> <p>Correct</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1.Root volume and Surface area data are not given in the table. 2.Table 1. is not showing any treatments except growing media, but the graphs were showing treatments on x axis, it has to be re checked/re modified. 3.Mentioned graphs are not having x axis title and y axis titles, should be indicated properly with relevant parameter units. 4.In the references section author has to recheck the each reference (authors name, title of the research article, name of the journal, year, volume, issue and page number) this has to be followed for every reference mentioned in the final section.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable with minor corrections at few corrected places</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Sentences and grammatical corrections has to be carried out by the author before final proof reading or submission.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Badde Prasanna Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>