

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_103819</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Response of Spacing and Nitrogen on Yield and Economics of Kharif Sweetcorn (Zea mays L. saccharata)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>NO, it can be " Effect of nitrogen rates and agricultural spacing on yield and economy of sweet corn (Zea Mays L. )</b></p> <p><b>No</b></p> <p>No</p> <p>No, there are a lot of issues should be tackled.</p> <p>No , it needs more references to support their statements. This article needs to be improved, there is lack in so many sections and information that has been written are not enough or clear. Also, lack in statistics.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No, they should see an English expert to solve the grammar issues that is everywhere in this article.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This article should be improved by providing more results and tables that prove their statement. In M&amp;M section, should have the pre-planting table that has all the chemo-physio soil analysis for the planting area.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahmed Abduljabbar Jasim Algburi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Baghdad, Iraq</b>