

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103346
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Type and Size of Growing Media on Seed Germination and Seedling Growth of Pinus gerardiana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript Effects of Type and Size of Growing Media on Seed Germination and Seedling Growth of Pinus Gerardiana presents scientific relevance with satisfactory scientific results.</p> <p>The title is adequate for the focus of the research.</p> <p>Just a suggestion in the keywords to remove the scientific name of the species as it is already in the title and change it to tree species.</p> <p>The abstract presents a brief introduction, a presentation of the problem, objectives, material and methods, main results, and conclusions.</p> <p>The manuscript presents solid methods and continental results.</p> <p>References are up-to-date and in line with the research objective.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript has acceptable scientific quality and language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jennifer Souza Tomaz
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Amazonas, Brazil