

ABSTRACT

Chilgoza pine (*Pinus gerardiana* Wall.) is an important but endangered tree species in Afghanistan. Due to poor natural regeneration, seed-related dormancy, and anthropogenic factors, the IUCN put this species on the red list. Therefore, this study was undertaken to investigate the effect of growing medium and polybag sizes on seed germination and seedling growth. In this study, four growing media of soil (So), compost (Co), forest soil (Fs) and sand (Sa), viz., M₁ (So + Co + Fs); M₂ (Fs + Co + Sa); M₃ (So + Fs + Sa); and M₄ (Sa + Co + Fs) and three polybag sizes including P₁ (16 cm×16 cm), P₂ (18 cm×18 cm), and P₃ (21 cm×26 cm) were used and arranged in the frame of 4×3 factorial design to assess their effects on germination and seedling growth. The results revealed that, among different growing media treatments, higher germination and seedling growth parameters were observed on treatment M₄ (Sa + Co + Fs). Seedling growth parameters were significantly affected by polybag size, and the highest seedling growth parameters were obtained for P₃ size. So treatments M₄ + P₃ appear to be the most proper practice for the enhancement of seed germination and seedling growth of Chilgoza.

Keywords: Pinus gerardiana, media, polybag, seed germination, seedling growth Afghanistan

1. INTRODUCTION

Pinus gerardiana is the most economically and ecologically important tree species in Afghanistan, commonly known as Chilgoza, chalghoza, pine nuts, and Himalayan pine nuts [7]. Chilgoza is one of the 110 pine species of the *Pinus* genus, found at 1800 to 3350 m elevation in the temperate forests of the Himalayan region [9].

Pinus gerardiana produces edible kernels that are rich in many essential nutrients such as proteins, carbohydrates, fats, minerals, and fibers [17]. Fresh kernels contain sugars (4.07%), proteins (13.03%), oils (52.15%), and moisture (25.36%) [14].

Furthermore, Chilgoza plays an important role in the socio-economic development of the local communities and the national economy of Afghanistan; the livelihood of approximately 120,600 households directly depends on the Chilgoza forest. Each family obtains 444-555 USD income per year from this species [18]. Poor natural regeneration, seed-related dormancy, and other anthropogenic factors cause the degradation of the species ([15,10,11,16,4]. On the other hand, seed germination and seedling survivability in *ex-situ* are too low even uneconomical. Different factors affect seed germination and seedling growth [12]. Therefore, it is important to improve the seed germination and seedling growth of this species. The type and size of the growth medium are important factors for seed germination and seedling growth. Seed germination and seedling growth are greatly affected by the sowing medium and GA₃ [4]. Chilgoza pine seedlings require adequate drainage in nurseries [11]. Based on the latest research, soil media, and growth-promoting chemicals can improve seed germination; however, the size of the media is also important to investigate and define the proper type and size of the growing media.

2. METHODS AND MATERIAL

2.1 Study area: The study was conducted during 2021–2022, in the research farm of the agriculture faculty of Paktia University, Gardez City, Paktia, Afghanistan, which is located at 33° 38 48 N, 69 13 56 E, and 2390m altitude.

, in this study, we used a completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications.

2.1.1 Treatment properties:

Two factors were included in this experiment. The first factor was sowing medium, with four combinations: ground soil: compost: forest soil (M_1), ground soil: compost: sand (M_2), ground soil: forest soil: sand (M_3), and sand: compost: forest soil (M_4). Second factor was polybag size: $P_1(16 \times 16)$, $P_2(18 \times 18)$, and $P_3(21 \times 21)$.

Seeds: Seeds were collected from the forest area of the province. Seeds were sorted and graded based on their healthiness, size and physical properties, respectively. Healthy seeds were sown in polybags filled with the prepared sowing media. Irrigation was performed using a hand sprinkler.

2.1.1.1 Studied parameters and data collection:

In this study seed germination and seedling growth parameters were measured, presented in Table 1.

(Table 1) Seed germination and seedling growth parameters, formulas, and units

Studied parameters	Calculation formula	Unit of Measurement	Used References
Germination Percent (GP)	$GP = \frac{Ni}{N} \times 100$	%	[3]
Mean Germination Time (MGT)	$MGT = \frac{\sum ni \times di}{N} \times 100$	Day	[6]
Germination index	$GI = \sum (Gt/Dt)$	Day	[19]
Collar diameter	Digital clipper	mm	[4]
Shoot Length	Ruler	cm	[4]
Shoot fresh Weight	Precision scale	gr	[4]
Root fresh weight	Precision scale	gr	[4]
Shoot dry weight	Precision scale	gr	[4]
Root dry weight	Precision scale	gr	[4]

N: Total number of seeds and N_i : germinated seeds at the end of counting days, n_i : germinated seeds per day and d_i : counting day, Cpsgt: final germination percent and T: Total number of days, Gt is corresponding number of seeds germinated in the t day; Dt is time corresponding to Gt in days.

The first germination of seeds was observed 21 days after seed sowing and continued up to the 48th day and 8 months after seed sowing, seedlings were removed from polybags for measurement of some growth parameters. Root and shoot dry weights were assessed after oven drying at 68 °C for 48 h.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance tables showed that seed germination was affected only by growing media, and seedling growth was significantly affected by both growing media and poly bag size (Tables 2 and 3). High germination percentage was observed in M_4 (sand: compost: forest soil) and M_3 (soil: forest soil: sand) media, in all polybag sizes. However, germination decreased in M_1 (soil: compost: forest soil) medium (elbaT 4). Seedling growth parameters were significantly affected by media and polybag size and were higher in M_4 (sand: compost: forest soil) and P_3 (26 cm x 21 cm) polybag sizes (Table 5).

Table 2. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for growing media (M), polybag size (P), and their interaction (MxP) for seed germination parameters.

SOV	DF	Mean Squares (MS)		
		Germination (%)	MGT	GI

M	3	620.2*	0.19 ^{ns}	82.54
P	2	19.8 ^{ns}	0.02 ^{ns}	9.44
M×P	6	248.6 ^{ns}	0.49**	96.27**
Error	24	204.9	0.1130	23.84

*, **, and ^{ns} show *tnacifngis secnereffid* at 5% and 1% levels of probability and non-significance, respectively.

Table 3. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for growing media (M), polybag size (P), and their interaction (M×P) for seed germination parameters.

SOV	DF	MS								
		Diameter	Seedling Height	Seedling Fresh Weight	Root Fresh Weight	Seedling Dry Weight	Root Dry Weight	Root : Shoot ratio	Sturdiness Quotient	Seedling Quality Index
M	3	0.3188**	0.4016*	0.0098 ^{ns}	0.0087*	0.0119*	0.0022*	0.0043 ^{ns}	0.1159 ^{ns}	0.0011**
P	2	0.5**	0.61*	0.02 ^{ns}	0.02**	0.02**	0.0025*	0.01 ^{ns}	0.44 ^{ns}	0.002**
M×P	6	0.2916**	0.3414*	0.056**	0.002 ^{ns}	0.003 ^{ns}	0.0005 ^{ns}	0.0054 ^{ns}	1.2524*	0.0005
Error	24	0.0611	0.1224	0.0134	0.0024	0.0030	0.0007	0.0067	0.4518	0.0002

*, **, and ^{ns} show *tnacifngis secnereffid* at 5% and 1% levels of probability and non-significance, respectively.

3.1 Effect of Growing Medium

In M₄ (sand: compost: forest soil) and M₃ (sand: forest soil: sand) growing media, more seeds germinated than in the other growing media, and less germination occurred in M₁ (sand: compost: forest soil). A 17% increase in germination was observed in M₄ (sand: compost: forest soil) compared to soil: compost: forest soil (Table 4).

Table 4. Effect of growing medium and polybag size on germination of *Pinus gerardiana* seeds

Sowing media	Germination (%)	Mean germination time	Germination index
Soil: compost: forest soil	64.44 b	2.25 ab	26.18 ab
Soil: compost: sand	77.78 ab	2.30 a	28.93 ab
Soil: forest soil: sand	80.74 a	2.17 ab	33.35 a
Sand: compost: forest soil	82.96 a	1.97 ab	28.16 ab
P1(16cm*16cm)	75.00 a	2.15 a	28.75 a
P2(18cm*18cm)	77.22 a	2.15 a	30.17 a
P3(21cm*26cm)	77.22 a	2.22 a	28.54 a

Collar diameter, root dry weight, and seedling quality index in M₄ (sand: compost: forest soil) growing medium were significantly higher than those in other growing media. Shoot length, root fresh weight, and shoot dry weight were statistically similar in M₄ (sand: compost: forest soil) and M₃ (soil: forest soil: sand). Shoot fresh weight, Root: Shoot ratio and sturdiness quotient were not significantly different in the different growing media (Table 5).

1. Table 5. Effect of growing medium and polybag size on seedling growth of *Pinus gerardiana*

Growing media and polybag size	Diameter	Seedling height	Shoot fresh weight	Root fresh weight	Shoot dry weight	Root dry weight	Root: shoot ratio	Sturdiness quotient	Seedling quality index
Soil: compost: forest soil	1.62 b	5.11 b	0.69 a	0.44 b	0.48 b	0.30 b	0.62 a	3.29 a	0.10 b
Soil: compost: sand	1.62 b	5.22 b	0.66 a	0.47 ab	0.51 b	0.31 b	0.61 a	3.27 a	0.10 b
Soil: forest soil: sand	1.67 b	5.33 ab	0.71 a	0.47 ab	0.53 ab	0.30 b	0.58 a	3.22 a	0.11 b
Sand: compost: forest soil	2.01 a	5.60 a	0.64 a	0.51 a	0.57 a	0.33 a	0.58 a	3.04 a	0.13 a
P1(16cm*16cm)	1.53 b	5.08 b	0.65 a	0.44 b	0.49 b	0.30 b	0.62 a	3.41 a	0.10 b
P2(18cm*18cm)	1.72 b	5.33 ab	0.65 a	0.45 b	0.51 b	0.31 ab	0.61 a	3.16 a	0.11 b

3.1.1 Effect of Polybag Size

Polybag size did not affect germination parameters (Table 4). The growth parameters were significantly affected by the polybag size. Collar diameter, root fresh weight, shoot dry weight, and seedling quality index were significantly affected by P3 (26 cm x 21 cm). Seedling height and root dry weight were measured and parred in P2 and P3 polybags. Shoot fresh weight, Root: Shoot ratio and sturdiness quotient were not significant for any polybag size (Table 5).

3.1.1.1 Interaction Effect

The effects of the growing media and polybag size on germination and seedling growth are shown in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. Germination parameters (MGT and GI) were observed to be greater in M2 (soil: compost: sand) for P2 (18 cm x 18 cm) and M3 (soil: forest soil: sand) for P1 (16 cm x 16 cm) polybag sizes (Table 6).

Table 6. Interaction effect of growing medium and seed size on germination of *Pinus gerardiana* seeds.

Soil media	Poly bag size	Germination (%)	Mean germination time	Germination index
Soil: compost: forest soil	P1(16cm*16cm)	51.11 b	1.77 c	17.44 c
	P2(18cm*18cm)	77.78 a	2.42 ab	32.44 ab
	P3(21cm*26cm)	64.44 ab	2.54 ab	28.64 b
Soil: compost: sand	P1(16cm*16cm)	80.00 a	2.17 abc	32.18 ab
	P2(18cm*18cm)	68.89 ab	2.67 a	28.18 b
	P3(21cm*26cm)	84.44 a	2.08 bc	26.42 b
Soil: forest soil: sand	P1(16cm*16cm)	82.22 a	2.58 ab	38.77 a
	P2(18cm*18cm)	80.00 a	1.85 c	29.16 b
	P3(21cm*26cm)	80.00 a	2.06 bc	32.13 ab
Sand: compost: forest soil	P1(16cm*16cm)	86.67 a	2.07 bc	26.60 b
	P2(18cm*18cm)	82.22 a	1.65 c	30.91 ab
	P3(21cm*26cm)	80.00 a	2.18 abc	26.98 b

The collar diameter and seedling height were greater in M4 (sand: compost: forest soil) for P3 (26 cm x 21 cm) polybag size. Shoot fresh weight interaction was better in M3 (soil: forest soil: sand) for P1 (16 x 16 cm) polybag size. The sturdiness quotient was greater in M3 (soil: forest soil: sand) for P3 (26 x 21 cm) polybag size (Table 7).

Table 7. Interaction effect of growing medium and seed size on seedling growth of *Pinus gerardiana*

Soil media	Poly bag size	Diameter	Seedling height	Shoot fresh weight	Root fresh weight	Shoot dry weight	Root dry weight	Root: shoot ratio	Sturdiness quotient	Seedling quality index
Soil: compost: forest soil	P1(16cm*16cm)	1.50 cd	4.33 c	0.80 ab	0.40 d	0.40 d	0.27 c	0.69 a	2.94 bc	0.09 d

	P2(18cm*18cm)	1.57 bcd	5.33 ab	0.54 ef	0.45 bcd	0.49 cd	0.30 bc	0.63 ab	3.41 ab	0.10 cd
	P3(21cm*26cm)	1.53 bcd	5.25 b	0.60 cdef	0.43 cd	0.49 bc	0.30 bc	0.61 ab	3.43 ab	0.10 cd
	P1(16cm*16cm)	1.53 bcd	5.40 ab	0.66 bcdef	0.49 bc	0.58 abc	0.31 bc	0.54 b	3.86 ab	0.11 bcd
Soil: compost: sand	P2(18cm*18cm)	1.93 b	5.40 ab	0.56 def	0.44 cd	0.50 bc	0.31 bc	0.62 ab	2.80 bc	0.11 bcd
	P3(21cm*26cm)	1.47 cd	5.17 b	0.65 bcdef	0.45 bcd	0.49 bc	0.31 bc	0.64 ab	3.56 ab	0.10 cd
	P1(16cm*16cm)	1.60 bcd	5.23 b	0.87 a	0.45 bcd	0.51 bc	0.28 bc	0.56 ab	3.28 abc	0.10 bcd
Soil: forest soil: sand	P2(18cm*18cm)	1.87 bc	5.53 ab	0.52 f	0.46 bcd	0.53 abc	0.32 ab	0.61 ab	3.02 abc	0.12 bc
	P3(21cm*26cm)	1.43 d	5.58 ab	0.71 abcde	0.47 bcd	0.55 abc	0.31 bc	0.56 ab	4.12 a	0.10 cd
	P1(16cm*16cm)	1.83 bcd	5.17 b	0.78 abc	0.49 bc	0.56 abc	0.31 bc	0.55 ab	2.83 bc	0.12 b
Sand: compost: forest soil	P2(18cm*18cm)	1.87 bc	5.50 ab	0.67 bcdef	0.53 ab	0.58 ab	0.32 ab	0.55 ab	2.95 bc	0.12 b
	P3(21cm*26cm)	2.63 a	5.87 a	0.75 abcd	0.59 a	0.60 a	0.36 a	0.59 ab	2.23 c	0.15 a

4. Discussion

4.1 Effect of Growing Medium

Our findings showed that the germination percentage was higher in those media treatments which had sand as a combinational portion like M_3 (soil + forest soil + sand) and M_4 (sand + compost + forest soil). In media treatments with no sand portion, germination percentage was better in forest soil-containing treatments. This betterment in germination might be attributed to forest soil and sand that in turn prepare *in situ* conditions and good aeration for seed germination. These results are in harmony with those of [13] and [5].

Our results also showed that sand-containing treatments (M_4) resulted in higher growth parameters and seedling quality index as compared with treatments not contained sand portions. Media with sand (M_4) causes aeration leading to longer primary root and good respirational activity. Similar results have been reported by [1] in *Azadirachta indica* Nemm.

4.1.1 Effect of polybag size

Our findings regarding seed germination showed that polybag size had no significant effects on germination parameters whilst polybag size significantly influenced seedling growth. The highest growth parameters were obtained by treatment P_3 (26 cm × 21 cm). The larger the polybag size, the higher the seedling growth. This assumed to be due to adequate space for the growth of both (above and below ground) portions. These findings are parallel with the results of [2].

4.1.1.1 Effect of interaction of container size and potting media on growth and development of seedlings

Results of this study showed that the interaction of growing media and polybag size was significantly effective on diameter and height. $P_3 \times M_4$ interaction resulted in the highest diameter and height. Large polybag size with good growing media, paves the way for good water-holding capacity, porosity, and root growth as reported by [8]. Although various interactions had different effects so the results made inconclusive. For precise estimation of interaction effects, additional studies are needed to be run in the future.

5. CONCLUSION

We can conclude from these results that seed germination is mainly affected by growing media while seedling growth is influenced by both growing media and polybag size. In general, for better seed germination and seedling growth, media four (sand + compost + forest soil) is the recommendable treatment but in case of polybag size, our findings showed that the larger the size, the better the seedling. So polybag size should be selected according to the nursery space and

economic prospects of the grower. For precise estimation of interaction effects, further research is needed to be done in a reasonable design and well-equipped laboratory conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Abebe, H. (2021). Effects of Pot Size and Planting Media on the Early Seedling Growth Performance of *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss. *Journal of Plant Sciences*, 9(4), 208-213.
2. Abera, B., Derero, A., Waktole, S., & Yilma, G. (2018). Effect of pot size and growing media on seedling vigour of four indigenous tree species under semi-arid climatic conditions. *Forests, trees and livelihoods*, 27(1), 61-67.
3. Ajmal Khan, M., & Ungar, I. A. (1998). Germination of the salt tolerant shrub *Suaeda fruticosa* from Pakistan: salinity and temperature responses. *Seed Science and Technology*, 26(3), 657-667.
4. Ariez, M., Salari, H., & Zazai, K. G. (2023). Effect of Sowing Media and Gibberellic Acid on seed Germination and Seedling Growth of Chilgoza pine nut (*Pinus gerardiana*. Wall). *European Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 4(2), 9-14.
5. Devaranavadi, S. B., Wali, S. Y., Patil, S. B., Jambagi, M. B., & Kambrekar, D. N. (2010). Effect of nursery mixtures on nutrient content and quality parameters of seedlings of different tree species. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 6(2), 365-369.
6. Ellis, R. H., & Roberts, E. H. (1981). The quantification of ageing and survival in orthodox seeds. *Seed Science and Technology (Netherlands)*.
7. Farjon, A. (1998). World checklist and bibliography of conifers.-v+ 298 pp. *Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, UK*.
8. Ilyas, K. M., Sharma, A., Wali, V. K., Bakshi, P., & Rani, S. (2014). Growth and survival of budded Kinnow plants as influenced by different types of black polybags and soil media. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 9(22), 1672-1680.
9. Khan, H., Akbar, M., Zaman, M., Hyder, S., Khan, M., Nafees, M. A., ... & Ali, M. (2015). Diameter size class distributions of *Pinus gerardiana* Wall. Ex D. Don from Gohar Abad Valley district Diامر, Gilgit-Baltistan. *Pakistan. J. Biodivers. Environ. Sci*, 6, 50-56.
10. Kumar, R., Shamet, G. S., Chaturvedi, O. P., Avasthe, R. K., & Singh, C. (2013). Ecology of chilgoza pine (*Pinus gerardiana* Wall) in dry temperate forests of North West Himalaya. *Ecology, Environment & Conservation*, 19(4), 1063-1066.
11. Kumar, R., Shamet, G. S., Mehta, H., Alam, N. M., Kaushal, R., Chaturvedi, O. P., ... & Gupta, D. (2016). Regeneration complexities of *Pinus gerardiana* in dry temperate forests of Indian Himalaya. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23, 7732-7743.
12. Kuşlu, Y., Şahin, U., Anapali, Ö., & Şahin, S. (2005). Use possibilities of pumice in cultural activities obtained from different parts of Turkey for aeration and water retention features. In *Turkey Pumice Symposium and Exhibition* (pp. 301-306).
13. Lazcano, Cristina., Sampedro, L., Zas, R., & Domínguez, J. (2010). Vermicompost enhances germination of the maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.). *New Forests*, 39, 387-400.
14. Malik, A. R., Shamet, G. S., & Butola, J. S. (2012). Natural regeneration status of chilgoza pine (*Pinus gerardiana* wall.) in Himachal Pradesh, India: an endangered pine of high edible value. *Appl Ecol Environ Res*, 10(3), 365-373.
15. Peltier, R., & Dauffy, V. (2009). The Chilgoza of Kinnaur. Influence of the *Pinus gerardiana* edible seed market chain organization on forest regeneration in the Indian Himalayas. *Fruits*, 64(2), 99-110.
16. Shalizi, M. N., Khurram, S., Groninger, J. W., Ruffner, C. M., & Burney, O. T. (2018). Indigenous knowledge and stand characteristics of a threatened tree species in a highly insecure area: Chilgoza pine in Afghanistan. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 413, 1-8.
17. Thakur, N. S., Somesh, S., & Sharma, K. D. (2009). Standardization of pretreatments for chilgoza (*Pinus gerardiana*) nut drying. *Journal of Food Science and Technology (Mysore)*, 46(2), 142-145.
18. Rahman, N., Salari, H., & Wiar, A. (2021). Value chain analysis of chilgoza pine nut at southeastern region of Afghanistan. *European Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 3(4), 43-49.
19. Zhai, K., Ji, Z., Jiang, D., Zhao, G., & Zhong, T. (2022). Sand Priming Promotes Seed Germination, Respiratory Metabolism and Antioxidant Capacity of *Pinus massoniana* Lamb. *Agriculture*, 12(4), 455.