

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_104378</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Adopter categorization and level of adoption among protected cut flower growers</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1- In general, it is a nice manuscript, and it is scientifically strong and technically sound.</p> <p>2- The title and the abstract of the article are suitable to the article content.</p> <p>3- The article is well structured.</p> <p>4- Its good if you add figure of study area.</p> <p>5- Need to check this reference, its not listed in the reference list (Ruli, Goudappa et.al., (2022)) other references are ok.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Its good considered as minor revision.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Absolutely impressive topic with good practice of academic paper approach: I-M-R-D. Very nicely laid out solutions and conclusions.</p> <p>Well-presented of the perceptions, attitudes, and adaptations to protect cut flower growers.</p> <p>As institutional support should be increased.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammed Saleh Al-Ghadi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The National University of Malaysia, University of Alrefak for Humanities and Applied Sciences, Libya</b>