

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104217
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of Sesame genotypes against Powdery mildew and Macrophomina stem/root rot diseases
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The manuscript is important for scientific community, but needs some improvement Too suitable The abstract will be comprehensive with some improvement (detailed at the manuscript) Yes they are, but needs some improvement (detailed at the manuscript) Yes I do with some improvement (detailed at the manuscript) Still need to add references to support this paper. It is preferable to use the latest references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is , but it still needs some corrections as an example of a unit of observation (..... lakh hectares) its better if you used the international standards (detailed at the manuscript)	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ida Nur Istina
Department, University & Country	AIAT, Indonesia