

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104051
Title of the Manuscript:	Protein Levels in <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (Metschn.) Sorokīn infested Tomato fruit borer <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> Hubner (Lepidoptera; Noctuidae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Research into pests is an important aspect of food security. Overall, I view the research here as significant and having a positive impact on the prosperity of society in the long term. This is especially the case when integrated pest management is able to be utilized and/or pesticide use is minimized, which is admittedly quite difficult in production agriculture and horticulture. Overall, this paper advances the field of pest management. 2. Suggested title: "A Brief Analysis of Protein Levels in <i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (Metschn.) Sorokīn infested Tomato fruit borer <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> Hubner (Lepidoptera; Noctuidae)" 3. In the introduction to give the audience an idea of the bigger picture. Otherwise, it is very well written. 4. The subsection organization is scientifically appropriate. 5. The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, and of note is that Figures 1 and 2 contain error bars to catalog appropriate experimental uncertainties. 6. The reference list is appropriate. 7. Keep the capitalization in the title headings consistent 8. The conclusion could use some more detail about wrapping up the paper. It would elevate the paper if it were a more detailed paragraph than a few sentences. 9. Keep the capitalization in the Figures and captions consistent. 10. The experiment preparation and results are explained professionally and with solid details. Well done here! 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, this is a well-written paper. Please see the comments in the attachment for suggestions on elevating the content of the paper.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>See above.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaime Thissen
Department, University & Country	United States