

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_103994
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' Perception Towards Millet Farmers Producers Organization
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The theme approached in this manuscript falls into the topic of International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. The proposed title of the article is in accordance with the issue studied. The abstract of the article is comprehensive, being composed of 314 words. The structure of the article is classic and appropriate. It can be appreciated that the manuscript is scientifically correct. The article is well built and it has a clarity of informations. There is a logic thread of the presentation and argumentation which makes the article relatively easy crossing. The used language is scientifically correct, and it can be easily read and understood not only by the specialists in the field. The style and expression of the authors are clear and coherent, no expression mistakes. The article contains 17 bibliographical sources, listed in the References section in the alphabetical order of the authors. The most recent sources are one from 2023 and others two from 2022. The oldest source is from 2005. The sources citation is made according to the requirements.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The subsections of the paper should also be numbered. The punctuation mark "." is used as a separator between the number of a table and its name. The papers in the References section are numbered regardless of the order in which they are listed. As a rule, the name of the journal in which a certain work was printed is written in italics.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Blajina Ovidiu
Department, University & Country	University Politehnica of Bucharest, Romania