

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_102991
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEIVED SOCIAL SUPPORT AS CORRELATES OF POSTTRAUMATIC GROWTH AMONG INTERNALLY DISPLACED PERSONS IN MAKURDI, BENUE STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the topic is very opt and it will certainly help those who are interested among IDP.</p> <p>Title is apt. However, the title of the paper could mention Nigeria instead of BENUE STATE.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes. Some suggestions are provided below that could be considered.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes (check additional comments) Need to check whether the authors and year mentioned in the paper is properly mentioned in the reference part?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Few suggestions. Some are serious.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The word survey should not be used in this study. Researchers selected subjects purposely from the IDPs camp. 2. The research failed to provide what is the criteria or criterion used to select the subjects. 3. As per the discussion, 36 subjects are below the age of 20, and among them 2 are below age 10. Their response will differ from those of aged respondents. The research does not seriously consider or mention this aspect. 4. In addition to that, 54 subjects have no formal education. Whether they responded the questionnaire by themselves or marked by the researcher/s or someone else guided, need to be mentioned. 5. In the methods part it is mentioned that one hundred and twenty (120) Internally Displaced Persons in Agan IDPs camp Makurdi Local Government, Area in Benue state were selected. But in the abstract and discussion the number is 126. This discrepancy need to be rectified. 6. The last part of the paper Recommendations and conclusions could be revised as conclusion and then recommendations. Normally they are separate. 7. There is a mistake in the reference. For example, Aroyewun and Ojo, 2019 is mentioned in the paper; but the year is 2018 in the reference part, which one is correct? Please check all the references. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. T. Janetius
Department, University & Country	India