

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_102991
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEIVED SOCIAL SUPPORT AS CORRELATES OF POSTTRAUMATIC GROWTH AMONG INTERNALLY DISPLACED PERSONS IN MAKURDI, BENUE STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Social support helps to cope with the problem, as post trauma flashback the memories and disturb psychologically. For this, support helps to handle the issues, deal with it and restores life. This interprets how they recover with social support.</b></p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Write few sentences two-three lines on introduction before objectives. All it is bold letters?</p> <p>Well structured.</p> <p>It is scientific.</p> <p>Most of the references are new but few are old. Write references from 20-25. Revise the references once.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Abstract: Write introduction of 2-3 sentences.</p> <p>Body Part: The sentences make up of citation of wolchik et.al,2008 should be revised. In the body part in methodology: Keep calculation of sample size.</p> <p>In the result section of interpretation write as higher to lower. For eg: Most of the participants were female and the least were male.</p> <p>Conclusion: It refers results and recommendation according to the findings of the study.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Srijana Pandey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Nepal Medical College Teaching Hospital, Nepal</b>