

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_103086
Title of the Manuscript:	ECOLOGICAL STUDY OF ASPILIA AFRICANA AND SIDA ACUTA AND THE COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THEIR PHYTOCHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Partially accepted</p> <p>Comparison of two well documented plant species is reliable</p> <p>The article addresses the phytochemical and pharmacology of selected plants</p> <p>Abstract clearly states the work done</p> <p>Sub sections are appropriate</p> <p>It discusses the preliminary work done by the author(s). The author need to correct the unit representation – like HCL, H2So4, mls etc.,</p> <p>References must be included in para 1 of introduction.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is grammatically correct. But the spelling of the chemicals and its representation are not correct in several parts of the manuscript which must be compulsorily rectified.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>The authors carried out preliminary analysis of two well-documented plant species from African region.</b></p> <p><b>3.1.1 Data Collection – Indicate the lat and long of villages from zone A to C</b></p> <p><b>3.3.1 Apparatus and Equipment Used – Grammatical correction – Soxhlet Chemicals – potassium ferrocyanide (check the spelling)</b></p> <p><b>3.4.1 Tannin Determination – filtrate (spelling) – Spelling corrections must be carried out throughout the manuscript.</b></p> <p>Combine table 1 and table 2 for effective comparison of phytochemicals present in the plant species under study</p> <p>Why is the title <b>4.4 Ecological study of <i>Aspilia africana</i> and <i>Sida acuta</i>; 4.4 Result of Ecological study</b> required twice?</p> <p>Results and discussion can be combine instead of chapter 4 and 5</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Praveena R</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bannari Amman Institute of Technology, India</b>