

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_103086
Title of the Manuscript:	ECOLOGICAL STUDY OF ASPILIA AFRICANA AND SIDA ACUTA AND THE COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THEIR PHYTOCHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	There are several areas that the submission can be improved, is it an research manuscript or a book chapter; the presentation of the materials and methods is as if it is protocol, and will require the format to be modified. The complete significant digits of the p-values need to be shown. The results are partly shown and would need additional support with the data shown in figures with the absorbance-wavelength plots shown in text or as supplemental. The discussion of results is to be more detailed with mass spectrosc. Ionization and specific constituents of the composition where applicable, and cell cytotoxicity data can also be included. The abstract can be written in Intro., Methods, Results in context of discussion, and Conclusion format. Overall: Once it is revised and the above comments are addressed, then the submission can be considered further.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	n/a	
Optional/General comments	n/a	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hemant Sarin
Department, University & Country	USA