

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_102790
Title of the Manuscript:	FUNGI ASSOCIATED WITH THE CROP OF A LOCAL FOWL : A PUBLIC HEALTH PROBLEM
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is <b>scientifically important as it addresses the day to day activities in poultry farms that expose individuals to fungal pathogens of medical importance.</b></p> <p><b>No, the title is not suitable for the content of the research. I suggest the title should read Identification and prevalence of pathogenic fungi in litters of poultry farms in Oyun, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria</b></p> <p><b>Yes the abstract is comprehensive but there is no recommendation</b></p> <p><b>Yes the subsections and structure are appropriate</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>The articles has a lot of old citations and references, I suggest the references of the manuscript should date from year 2000 above</b></p> <p><b>The methodology aspect of the research needs to be revisited by the author. The aim of experimental research should be such that it can easily be replicated elsewhere by another researcher. Materials and methods of the study should be clearly explained and each sub headings should capture what was done in simple and clear words. I gave an outline in the body of the work.</b></p> <p><b>The methodology aspect of the research is not comprehensive enough</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The citations in the body of the work should be properly done</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Fayinminu Akintade Ojo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Benue State University, Nigeria</b>