

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_102720
Title of the Manuscript:	The Relationship between Knowledge, Attitude, and Behavior of Fiber Food Consumption with Defection Pattern
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is interesting both scientifically and in terms of the practical use of the information obtained regarding the respondents' knowledge of fibre foods, i.e. how often they are eaten and their effect on bowel movements. The authors have presented the results in tables, briefly describing and discussing them.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Generally yes but I do not understand why the Authors introduced a Literature Review section after the Introduction section. Rather, these two sections should have been combined and shortened slightly. This is not a review article but rather based on a survey of a selected group of respondents. Besides, the following point was repeated twice: "Based on the description above, it is necessary to research to determine the relationship between knowledge, attitudes, and behaviour of consuming fibrous foods with defecation patterns in students of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Kristen Indonesia (UKI) Batch 2018."</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The names of the journals included in the literature list should be standardized, i.e. spelled with the full name or abbreviated and in capital letters.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mariola Kozłowska
Department, University & Country	Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Institute of Food Sciences, Poland