

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_103759
Title of the Manuscript:	Community Language Learning in the Tertiary Level: A Narrative Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. It is important for the scientific community, due to the fact that today there is a need to implement teaching strategies so that students master several languages.</p> <p>2. I suggest this title: "Community language learning in tertiary level teachers".</p> <p>3. I suggest structuring in abstract based on the problem, objectives, methodology, results, discussion and conclusions.</p> <p>4. I suggest separating the results of the discussion. And conclude based on the research questions raised.</p> <p>5. Yes, it is correct, I hope you consider the suggestions for greater clarity in results and discussion.</p> <p>6. I suggest in the referential framework consider the teaching theories.</p> <p>I suggest the use of tables to organize the results based on the participants and the corresponding variables to which they refer for a better understanding of future readers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	I consider the language/English of the article to suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonia Mireles Medina
Department, University & Country	Tecnológico Nacional de México, México