

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_103516
Title of the Manuscript:	Fish and its habitat loss: Causes, Conservation, and Sustainable Management
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. In general terms, it provides a summarized and concise overview of a topic of academic and scientific interest such as the loss of fish habitat. 2. Yes, but it could also be: "Brief review of the risk situation in the natural habitats of fish" 3. Yes, although it could include more updated statistics 4. In general yes 5. It contributes to the technical knowledge of the threats to fish species, which therefore shows the environmental risks for all living beings. 6. The references comply, however, they could be complemented with statistical studies and some current case study (less than 5 years old).	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language level is technical accessibility for the general public	
Optional/General comments	Incorporate current statistical data that supports the results and conclusions	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aldo Antonio Castañeda Villanueva
Department, University & Country	Universidad de Guadalajara, Mexico