

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_103020
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on the Awareness and Content Knowledge on NEP- 2020 among the Secondary School Teachers in West Tripura District
Type of the Article	Article Review

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is undoubtedly important as it is based upon Indian national education policy which is dire need of educational institutions to be familiarized with what is the overall national policy.</li> <li>2. It's little ambiguous as it doesn't clearly portray the study being conducted. The alternate title may be "Indian National Education Policy: The Understanding of Secondary School Teachers"</li> <li>3. It's too generic, it must focus on what the study is all about, how it was conducted and highlight key findings and recommendations.</li> <li>4. Relatively better, but they can further be improved.</li> <li>5. Lot of areas need critical attention to make it scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. References are insufficient but recent. There is lot of literature on Indian national education policy, it needs to be shaped chronologically.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	Minor errors are found, needs to rephrase or revise them.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The study is pertinent but there are grey areas need special attention. The analysis is too short though there is more room to further explain. This article doesn't say much about updating the literature perspectives or knowledge bank. Needs deeper understanding and exploration.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sultan Alam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aga Khan University, Pakistan</b>