

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_103186
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of anterior single tooth crossbite using removable posterior teeth bite plane along with Z-spring: a case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalipri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript reports a simple clinical case of orthodontics but extremely important in the clinical environment. The title is satisfactory. The abstract of the article did not mention about the clinical case report. He's very general. The article is well written; however, I propose to talk more about the use of the orthodontic appliance of choice for the clinical case in the introduction and address more the clinical case in the abstract. In the case report, I suggest including the number of teeth examined and avoiding phrases such as: "The upper right lateral incisor has not yet erupted." I also suggest standardizing the size of the article images.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The article is well written and deals with an interesting clinical case. However, I believe that the article in question does not fit the guidelines proposed by the journal. The journal is dedicated to publications in all areas of pharmaceutical science and the article in question reports a clinical case focused on the clinical area of orthodontics.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leonardo Antonio de Morais
Department, University & Country	Paulista State University "Júlio de Mesquita Filho", Brazil