

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMPS_103588</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Pharmacological Evaluation of Ovulation Inducing Potential of Siddha Herbomineral Formulation Arputha Mathirai on Estradiol Valerate Induced Poly Cystic Ovarian Syndrome Wistar Albino Rat Model</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, The authors have done a quality research leads for advanced studies to the researchers.</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In whole article, Format for mentioning the units along with numerals should follow a same format. For example, In line number 117, used 70 g but in line number 117, used 70g, like. Standard one is 70 g.</p> <p>In whole article, go through and correct the marked typo errors.</p> <p>In Page No. 1, Line No. 13, Grammatical error should be removed, (use present tense).</p> <p>In abstract, the sub titles (Aim, Place of study, Methodology, Results and Conclusion) should be removed and the content may concise as a paragraph.</p> <p>In line No. 58 &amp; 61 typo error should be removed.</p> <p>In line No. 110, mention the name of the store or reframe the sentence.</p> <p>In line No. 150, use the botanical name with italic format.</p> <p>In line No. 193, the sentence should be reframed with clear meaning.</p> <p>In Line 411, sentence should be rewrite.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>R. Selva Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AMRITA College of Engineering &amp; Technology, India</b>